IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS SAN ANTONIO DIVISION

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION,

Plaintiff,

-against-

ROBERT J. MUELLER, DEEPROOT FUNDS LLC (a/k/a dprt Funds, LLC), AND POLICY SERVICES INC.,

Defendants,

-and-

DEEPROOT TECH LLC, DEEPROOT PINBALL LLC, DEEPROOT STUDIOS LLC, DEEPROOT SPORTS & ENTERTAINMENT LLC, AND DEEPROOT RE 12621 SILICON DR LLC,

Relief Defendants.

Civil Action No.: 5:21-cv-785-XR

PLAINTIFF SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION'S OBJECTIONS TO DEFENDANT'S TRIAL EXHIBITS AND DEPOSITION DESIGNATIONS

Pursuant to the Court's Scheduling Order, Dkt. 102, Plaintiff Securities and Exchange Commission submits the below objections to Defendant Mueller's proposed trial exhibits and deposition designations, Dkt. 114. These objections are based on the current status of this matter. The SEC reserves the right to supplement, correct, or clarify its objections or to withdraw an objection, including based on any future decisions or orders of this Court.

I. Deposition Designations

The SEC objects to defendant Mueller's deposition designations as hearsay. Deposition testimony constitutes hearsay as defined by Federal Rule of Evidence 801(c) if offered for the truth of the matter asserted. In this case, the hearsay exception that applies in most civil cases for deposition testimony, Federal Rule of Evidence 804(b)(1), does not apply because the witnesses identified by defendant Mueller are not "unavailable" as defined by Federal Rule of Evidence 804(a)(5). A witness is unavailable pursuant to Rule 804(a)(5) if "the statement's proponent has not been able, by process or other reasonable means, to procure: (A) the declarant's attendance" In this case, where both parties have nationwide subpoena authority and none of the witnesses live abroad, no witness is "unavailable" absent a further showing.

In any action or proceeding instituted by the Commission under this subchapter in a United States district court for any judicial district, a subpoena issued to compel the attendance of a witness or the production of documents or tangible things (or both) at a hearing or trial may be served at any place within the United States. Rule 45(c)(3)(A)(ii) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure shall not apply to a subpoena issued under the preceding sentence.

17 U.S.C. § 77v(a); *see Jauch v. Corley*, 830 F.2d 47, 50 (5th Cir. 1987) ("A deposition is an acceptable substitute for oral testimony when in-court observation of the witness is extremely difficult or virtually impossible."). This is particularly true for individuals the SEC plans to call as witnesses at trial and defendant Mueller can cross examine, namely: Scott Allen, Ken Abramson, Dennis Concilla, and Andrew Federico. Mueller designated himself, as well as Scott Allen, Dennis Concilla, and Andrew Federico, as "both" live witnesses and witnesses by deposition testimony. Dkt. 114 at 2-3. The deposition testimony of Mueller, Allen, Concilla,

¹ The SEC listed Mr. Abramson as a witness it "may call" on its witness list. Dkt. 112, 3. After reviewing the defendant Mueller's witness and exhibit lists the SEC now plans to call Mr. Abramson as a witness at trial.

and Federico clearly constitutes hearsay.² Moreover, some of the proposed designations that Mueller is seeking to admit are lengthy hours-long testimony in which the witness was improperly led by defense counsel.

The SEC further objects to defendant Mueller's deposition designations because it does not comply with Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 43(a), which provides, "[a]t trial, the witnesses' testimony must be taken in open court unless a federal statute, the Federal Rules of Evidence, these rules, or other rules adopted by the Supreme Court provide otherwise. F.R.C.P. 43(a). The Fifth Circuit prohibits deposition testimony "unless 'live testimony from the deponent is impossible or highly impracticable." *Swearingen v. Gillar Home Health Care, L.P.*, 759 F. App'x 322, 324 (5th Cir. 2019) (citing *McDowell v. Blankenship*, 759 F.3d 847, 851 (8th Cir. 2014)). In determining the exact meaning of exceptional circumstances, the Eighth Circuit noted that "the phrase unquestionably grants the district court considerable discretion in determining whether to admit deposition testimony" and that "the circumstances of a witness's absence are exceptional when akin to the witness being 'unavailable ... to testify because he is dead[.]"" *McDowell*, 759 F.3d at 851 (citation omitted); *see Ruelas v. W. Truck & Trailer Maint., Inc.*, No. PE:18-CV-00002-DC-DF, 2019 WL 13150106, at *2 (W.D. Tex. Oct. 1, 2019). Thus, there is no need for the Court to consider defendant Mueller's designations for any of his witnesses.³

The SEC maintains that deposition testimony for the remaining witnesses from whom defendant Mueller designated testimony (Eric Dandridge, Nathan Spradlin, and Craig Rushforth)

² The SEC does not dispute that Mueller can use deposition testimony to impeach a witness. However, deposition testimony is not appropriate for affirmative designations when a witness is available to testify at trial. *See Corley*, 830 F.2d at 50.

³ The SEC respectfully requests that opportunity to offer counter-designations and objections if Messrs. Allen, Abramson, Concilla, and Federico become "unavailable" pursuant to Rule 804.

is also hearsay. Nevertheless, out of an abundance of caution, the SEC is providing counterdesignations and objections for these three witnesses.

A. Eric Dandridge

Citation	Designating Party	Objection
Pg: 9 Ln: 13 - 16	Defendant Designation	
Pg: 11 Ln: 2 - 12	Defendant Designation	
Pg: 11 Ln: 13 - Pg: 12 Ln: 6	Defendant Designation	
Pg: 12 Ln: 7 - 9	SEC Designation	
Pg: 12 Ln: 10 - 19	Defendant Designation	
Pg: 12 Ln: 20 - Pg: 13 Ln: 20	Defendant Designation	
Pg: 13 Ln: 21 - Pg: 15 Ln: 15	Defendant Designation	
Pg: 15 Ln: 16 - Pg: 16 Ln: 4	Defendant Designation	
Pg: 16 Ln: 5 - 17	Defendant Designation	
Pg: 16 Ln: 18 - 22	SEC Designation	
Pg: 17 Ln: 2 - 16	Defendant Designation	
Pg: 18 Ln: 20 - 24	Defendant Designation	
Pg: 18 Ln: 25 - Pg: 19 Ln: 8	Defendant Designation	
Pg: 19 Ln: 9 - 23	Defendant Designation	
Pg: 21 Ln: 19 - Pg: 22 Ln: 6	Defendant Designation	

SEC Designation	
Defendant Designation	
Determant Designation	
Defendant Designation	
Defendant Designation	
Defendant Designation	Objection: FRE 403 - Speculation.
SEC Designation	
Defendant Designation	
Defendant Designation	
SEC Designation	
Defendant Designation	
SEC Designation	
Defendant Designation	
Defendant Designation	
Defendant Designation	
SEC Designation	
SEC Designation	
Defendant Designation	
SEC Designation	
	Defendant Designation

Pg: 38 Ln: 18 - 24	Defendant Designation	
Pg: 38 Ln: 25 - Pg: 39 Ln: 6	Defendant Designation	
Pg: 39 Ln: 7 - 22	Defendant Designation	
Pg: 39 Ln: 23 - Pg: 40 Ln: 1	SEC Designation	
Pg: 40 Ln: 9 - Pg: 41 Ln: 13	Defendant Designation	
Pg: 41 Ln: 18 - 22	SEC Designation	
Pg: 42 Ln: 12 - 15	Defendant Designation	
Pg: 42 Ln: 16 - Pg: 43 Ln: 7	Defendant Designation	
Pg: 43 Ln: 14 - Pg: 44 Ln: 5	Defendant Designation	
Pg: 44 Ln: 6 - 25	Defendant Designation	
Pg: 45 Ln: 9 - Pg: 46 Ln: 6	Defendant Designation	
Pg: 46 Ln: 21 - Pg: 47 Ln: 3	SEC Designation	
Pg: 47 Ln: 17 - Pg: 48 Ln: 24	SEC Designation	
Pg: 51 Ln: 21 - 24	Defendant Designation	
Pg: 51 Ln: 25 - Pg: 52 Ln: 19	SEC Designation	
Pg: 53 Ln: 24 - Pg: 54 Ln: 13	Defendant Designation	
Pg: 54 Ln: 19 - Pg: 55 Ln: 1	Defendant Designation	
Pg: 55 Ln: 2 - Pg: 56 Ln: 5	SEC Designation	

Pg: 56 Ln: 6 - Pg: 57 Ln: 2	Defendant Designation	
Pg: 57 Ln: 3 - 14	SEC Designation	
Pg: 57 Ln: 24 - Pg: 59 Ln: 7	SEC Designation	
Pg: 60 Ln: 6 - 17	Defendant Designation	
Pg: 60 Ln: 22 - Pg: 61 Ln: 5	Defendant Designation	
Pg: 62 Ln: 2 - 18	SEC Designation	
Pg: 63 Ln: 18 - Pg: 65 Ln: 6	SEC Designation	
Pg: 65 Ln: 10 - Pg: 66 Ln: 7	Defendant Designation	
Pg: 66 Ln: 8 - 14	SEC Designation	
Pg: 66 Ln: 15 - 22	Defendant Designation	
Pg: 66 Ln: 23 - Pg: 67 Ln: 22	SEC Designation	
Pg: 67 Ln: 23 - Pg: 68 Ln: 1	Defendant Designation	
Pg: 68 Ln: 18 - 21	Defendant Designation	
Pg: 68 Ln: 22 - Pg: 70 Ln: 21	SEC Designation	
Pg: 70 Ln: 22 - Pg: 71 Ln: 23	Defendant Designation	
Pg: 75 Ln: 9 - 11	Defendant Designation	
Pg: 84 Ln: 9 - 25	Defendant Designation	
Pg: 85 Ln: 1 - 25	Defendant Designation	

Pg: 87 Ln: 4 - 15	Defendant Designation	
Pg: 87 Ln: 21 - Pg: 88 Ln: 1	Defendant Designation	
Pg: 88 Ln: 2 - 7	Defendant Designation	
Pg: 88 Ln: 23 - Pg: 89 Ln: 6	Defendant Designation	
Pg: 89 Ln: 24 - Pg: 90 Ln: 12	Defendant Designation	Objection- 403. Not relevant. Not contested.
Pg: 90 Ln: 13 - 24	Defendant Designation	Objection -403 Not relevant. Not contested.
Pg: 90 Ln: 25 - Pg: 91 Ln: 9	Defendant Designation	Objection- 403 Not relevant. Not contested.
Pg: 91 Ln: 10 - 12	Defendant Designation	Objection- 403. Not Relevant. Not contested.
Pg: 91 Ln: 15 - 25	Defendant Designation	Objection - 403. Not relevant. Not contested.
Pg: 92 Ln: 1 - 16	SEC Designation	
Pg: 92 Ln: 17 - Pg: 93 Ln: 1	Defendant Designation	
Pg: 93 Ln: 24 - Pg: 94 Ln: 10	Defendant Designation	
Pg: 94 Ln: 11 - Pg: 95 Ln: 12	Defendant Designation	
Pg: 95 Ln: 13 - Pg: 96 Ln: 5	Defendant Designation	Objection - Vauge as to "risky." Leading.
Pg: 96 Ln: 6	SEC Designation	
Pg: 96 Ln: 8 - 23	Defendant Designation	
Pg: 96 Ln: 24 - Pg: 97 Ln: 5	Defendant Designation	
Pg: 97 Ln: 6 - 12	Defendant Designation	

Pg: 97 Ln: 25 - Pg: 98 Ln: 6	SEC Designation	
Pg: 98 Ln: 7 - 14	Defendant Designation	
Pg: 99 Ln: 21 - Pg: 100 Ln: 1	Defendant Designation	
Pg: 100 Ln: 2 - 13	Defendant Designation	
Pg: 100 Ln: 14 - Pg: 101 Ln: 10	Defendant Designation	Objection: Calls for legal conclusion. Lack of foundation.
Pg: 101 Ln: 12 - 20	Defendant Designation	
Pg: 101 Ln: 21 - Pg: 102 Ln: 1	SEC Designation	
Pg: 102 Ln: 2 - Pg: 104 Ln: 13	Defendant Designation	
Pg: 104 Ln: 14 - Pg: 105 Ln: 21	Defendant Designation	
Pg: 105 Ln: 22 - Pg: 106 Ln: 8	SEC Designation	
Pg: 106 Ln: 17 - Pg: 107 Ln: 4	Defendant Designation	
Pg: 107 Ln: 5 - 12	Defendant Designation	
Pg: 108 Ln: 4 - 13	Defendant Designation	
Pg: 108 Ln: 14 - Pg: 109 Ln: 1	Defendant Designation	
Pg: 109 Ln: 2 - 16	Defendant Designation	
Pg: 109 Ln: 17 - Pg: 110 Ln: 20	Defendant Designation	
Pg: 110 Ln: 21 - Pg: 112 Ln: 1	Defendant Designation	
Pg: 112 Ln: 2 - 13	Defendant Designation	Objection: Calls for legal conclusion. Lack of foundation.

Pg: 114 Ln: 10 - Pg: 116 Ln: 19	Defendant Designation	Objection: Lack of foundation.
Pg: 117 Ln: 7 - 14	Defendant Designation	
Pg: 117 Ln: 15 - 24	SEC Designation	
Pg: 118 Ln: 2 - 18	Defendant Designation	
Pg: 118 Ln: 19 - Pg: 119 Ln: 12	Defendant Designation	
Pg: 120 Ln: 20 - Pg: 121 Ln: 16	SEC Designation	
Pg: 123 Ln: 5 - 10	SEC Designation	
Pg: 123 Ln: 18 - Pg: 125 Ln: 9	SEC Designation	
Pg: 125 Ln: 16 - 25	SEC Designation	
Pg: 126 Ln: 7 - 15	SEC Designation	
Pg: 126 Ln: 17 - Pg: 127 Ln: 8	Defendant Designation	Objection- leading
Pg: 127 Ln: 11 - 17	SEC Designation	
Pg: 127 Ln: 23 - Pg: 128 Ln: 7	SEC Designation	

B. Nathan Spradlin

Citation	Designating Party	Objection and/or Explanation
Pg: 7 Ln: 4 - 6	SEC Designation	
Pg: 7 Ln: 23 - Pg:	Defendant	
8 Ln: 1	Designation	
Pg: 8 Ln: 25 - Pg:	Defendant	
9 Ln: 22	Designation	
Pg: 9 Ln: 23 - Pg:	SEC Designation	Ending at line 3 after word "resume."
10 Ln: 3		
Pg: 10 Ln: 5 - 14	Defendant	
	Designation	

Pg: 10 Ln: 15 -	Defendant	Pg: 12 Ln: 19 - 23 Objection: FRE 403.
Pg: 12 Ln: 25	Designation	Speculation as witness lacks foundation. See Tr.
1 8. 12 2 23	Besignation	12:6-14 (does not remember the exam for Series
		7).
Pg: 13 Ln: 1 - 9	SEC Designation	
Pg: 13 Ln: 23 -	Defendant	
Pg: 15 Ln: 1	Designation	
Pg: 15 Ln: 2 - Pg:	Defendant	
17 Ln: 10	Designation	
Pg: 17 Ln: 11 -	Defendant	
Pg: 18 Ln: 12	Designation	
Pg: 18 Ln: 13 -	Defendant	
Pg: 19 Ln: 8	Designation	
Pg: 19 Ln: 9 - Pg:	Defendant	
20 Ln: 1	Designation	
Pg: 20 Ln: 2 - Pg:	Defendant	Pg: 21 Ln: 19 - Pg: 22 Ln: 3 Objection: FRE 403.
22 Ln: 3	Designation	Leading, vague and speculation as witness lacks
		foundation as to Mueller's training or knowledge
		about securities.
Pg: 22 Ln: 11 - 25	Defendant	
	Designation	
Pg: 23 Ln: 1 - 24	Defendant	
	Designation	
Pg: 23 Ln: 25 -	Defendant	
Pg: 24 Ln: 23	Designation	
Pg: 25 Ln: 3 - 6	SEC Designation	
Pg: 25 Ln: 7 - 19	SEC Designation	
Pg: 25 Ln: 20 -	Defendant	
Pg: 26 Ln: 25	Designation	
Pg: 27 Ln: 1 - 17	Defendant	
	Designation	
Pg: 27 Ln: 18 -	Defendant	
Pg: 28 Ln: 13	Designation	
Pg: 28 Ln: 14 -	Defendant	Pg: 28 Ln: 14 - Pg: 29 Ln: 3 Objection: FRE 403.
Pg: 29 Ln: 3	Designation	Not relevant.
Pg: 29 Ln: 4 - 16	Defendant	
	Designation	
Pg: 30 Ln: 4 - 10	Defendant	Pg: 30 Ln: 4 - 10 Objection: FRE 403. Not
	Designation	relevant.
Pg: 30 Ln: 11 - 23	Defendant	
	Designation	
Pg: 31 Ln: 3 - 15	Defendant	
	Designation	
Pg: 31 Ln: 16 -	Defendant	
Pg: 32 Ln: 5	Designation	

Pg: 33 Ln: 1 - 17	Defendant	Pg: 33 Ln: 1 Objection: FRE 403. Object to the
	Designation	phrase "Let's talk a little about that" because, based on prior designation, would give jury the
		mistaken impression that these questions are
		related to the last designated questions about
		Scott Allen.
Pg: 33 Ln: 18 -	Defendant	
Pg: 35 Ln: 9	Designation	
Pg: 35 Ln: 10 -	SEC Designation	
Pg: 36 Ln: 1		
Pg: 36 Ln: 13 - 16	SEC Designation	
Pg: 36 Ln: 23 - 25	SEC Designation	
Pg: 37 Ln: 4 - 25	Defendant	
	Designation	
Pg: 38 Ln: 1 - 11	SEC Designation	
Pg: 38 Ln: 12 -	Defendant	
Pg: 39 Ln: 2	Designation	
Pg: 39 Ln: 3 - 5	SEC Designation	
Pg: 41 Ln: 3 - Pg:	Defendant	
42 Ln: 2	Designation	
Pg: 42 Ln: 3 - 14	SEC Designation	
Pg: 42 Ln: 15 -	Defendant	Pg: 43 Ln: 8 - 18 Objection: FRE 802 Hearsay.
Pg: 43 Ln: 18	Designation	FRE 701. Improper opinion testimony and legal
		conclusion.
Pg: 44 Ln: 6 - 20	SEC Designation	
Pg: 44 Ln: 23 -	SEC Designation	
Pg: 45 Ln: 2		
Pg: 45 Ln: 12 - 23	Defendant	Pg: 45 Ln: 20 - 23 Objection: Leading.
	Designation	
Pg: 45 Ln: 24 -	Defendant	
Pg: 46 Ln: 7	Designation	
Pg: 46 Ln: 8 - 17	SEC Designation	
Pg: 46 Ln: 23 -	SEC Designation	
Pg: 47 Ln: 3		
Pg: 47 Ln: 4 - 21	Defendant	
	Designation	
Pg: 48 Ln: 17 -	Defendant	Pg: 49 Ln: 11 - 15 Objection: FRE 701. Leading
Pg: 49 Ln: 18	Designation	and improper opinion testimony and legal
		conclusion as to Mueller's intentions or what he
D 40 I 10	GEG D : :	attempted to do.
Pg: 49 Ln: 19 -	SEC Designation	
Pg: 50 Ln: 3	D.C. 1.	
Pg: 50 Ln: 4 - Pg:	Defendant	
51 Ln: 21	Designation	

Pg: 52 Ln: 2 - 25	Defendant	Pg: 52 Ln: 14 - 20 Objection: FRE 403.
18.02 2 2 20	Designation	Speculation because witness does not remember
	2 osignmien	discussions with Mueller.
Pg: 53 Ln: 1 - Pg:	Defendant	
54 Ln: 6	Designation	
Pg: 54 Ln: 7 - 10	SEC Designation	
Pg: 54 Ln: 16 -	Defendant	Pg: 55 Ln: 9 - 11 Objection: FRE 403. Leading,
Pg: 56 Ln: 3	Designation	and witness does not have "training and
		experience in the securities industry."
		Pg: 55 Ln: 12 - 15 Objection: FRE 802. Leading,
		and hearsay as to Mueller's alleged out of court
		statement.
Pg: 56 Ln: 4 - 19	Defendant	
_	Designation	
Pg: 58 Ln: 21 -	SEC Designation	
Pg: 59 Ln: 9		
Pg: 59 Ln: 14 -	Defendant	
Pg: 60 Ln: 4	Designation	
Pg: 60 Ln: 15 - 18	Defendant	
	Designation	
Pg: 60 Ln: 19 -	SEC Designation	
Pg: 61 Ln: 11		
Pg: 61 Ln: 12 -	Defendant	
Pg: 63 Ln: 2	Designation	
Pg: 64 Ln: 5 - Pg:	Defendant	
65 Ln: 2	Designation	
Pg: 65 Ln: 3 - 19	Defendant	
D (7.1 20	Designation	
Pg: 65 Ln: 20 -	SEC Designation	
Pg: 66 Ln: 1	Defendant	
Pg: 66 Ln: 6 - 21	Defendant	
Day 66 I no 22	Designation SEC Designation	
Pg: 66 Ln: 22 -	SEC Designation	
Pg: 67 Ln: 1 Pg: 67 Ln: 5 - Pg:	Defendant	Pg: 68 Ln: 1 - 8 Objection: FRE 403. Leading,
68 Ln: 12	Designation	and witness is speculating as he lacks foundation
00 Lii. 12	Designation	about this document, Exhibit 4. He testified he
		was not sure this was one of the documents he
		edited. See, e.g., Tr. 66:11-14; 67:2-4; and 67:9-
		10.
Pg: 69 Ln: 10 - 17	Defendant	Pg: 69 Ln: 13 - 17 Objection: FRE 403. Leading,
	Designation	and witness is speculating as he lacks foundation
		about this document as it was never established
		when or if he ever edited or saw Exhibit 4.

Pg: 69 Ln: 18 - Pg: 70 Ln: 11	Defendant Designation	Pg: 69 Ln: 18 - 23 Objection: FRE 403. Leading, and witness is speculating as he lacks foundation about this document as it was never established when or if he ever edited or saw Exhibit 4. Pg: 69 Ln: 24 - Pg: 70 Ln: 9 Objection: Same objection as the one above.
Pg: 70 Ln: 13 -	SEC Designation	Pg: 70 Ln: 10 - 12 Objection: Same objection as the one above. SEC only seeks to designate if objections to Tr.
Pg: 71 Ln: 3	SEC Designation	69:13-70:12 are overruled.
Pg: 71 Ln: 4 - 24	Defendant Designation	Pg: 71 Ln: 8 - 14 Objection: FRE 403. Speculation, not relevant, and potential jury confusion as to what investors were told. Witness testified he does not know "what [his] understanding was at that time, but right now [he] would assume" See Tr. 71:10-14. FRE 402. Current assumption is not relevant.
		Pg: 71 Ln: 15 - 24 Objection: FRE 403. Witness is speculating as he lacks foundation about this document as it was never established when or if he ever edited or saw Exhibit 4.
Pg: 71 Ln: 25 - Pg: 72 Ln: 4	SEC Designation	SEC only seeks to designate if objections to Tr. 71:8-24 are overruled.
Pg: 72 Ln: 22 -	Defendant	Pg: 73 Ln: 7 - 13 Objection: FRE 802. Leading,
Pg: 73 Ln: 13	Designation	and hearsay as to Mueller's alleged out of court statement.
Pg: 73 Ln: 14 - Pg: 74 Ln: 21	Defendant Designation	Pg: 74 Ln: 2 - 14 Objection: FRE 403. Leading, vague, and speculation that could lead to jury confusion as to Mueller's purpose for investing the Funds' money into his other businesses and lack of foundation about the Funds' expenses.
Pg: 74 Ln: 22 - Pg: 76 Ln: 15	Defendant Designation	Pg: 75 Ln: 16 - 19 Objection: Vague. Pg: 76 Ln: 4 – 8 Objection: FRE 403 and 402.
		Speculation as to what Mueller allegedly thought or believed. Spradlin's testimony about sharing Mueller's belief is not relevant.
		Pg: 76 Ln: 9 - 15 Objection: FRE 402. Not relevant to SEC's claims, or proper defenses, whether deeproot Pinball experienced delays. Lack of foundation about awareness of engineering problems.

Pg: 77 Ln: 3 - 17 Defendant Designation Pg: 77 Ln: 21 - Pg: 78 Ln: 10 Pg: 78 Ln: 10 Pg: 78 Ln: 17 - Pg: 82 Ln: 14 Designation Pg: 84 Ln: 17 - Pg: 82 Ln: 14 Designation Pg: 84 Ln: 17 - Pg: 84 Ln: 15 - 20 SEC Designation Pg: 84 Ln: 10 Defendant Designation Pg: 84 Ln: 10 Defendant Designation Pg: 85 Ln: 3 Defendant Designation Pg: 85 Ln: 3 Defendant Designation Pg: 85 Ln: 4 - Pg: 86 Ln: 1 Designation Pg: 85 Ln: 10 Defendant Designation Pg: 85 Ln: 10 Pg: 86 Ln: 1 Objection: Vague as to "positive cash flow." Pg: 85 Ln: 10 - Pg: 86 Ln: 1 Objection: FRE 403. Could risk jury confusion as SEC is not claiming that Mueller hid or failed to disclose that he could invest some of the Funds' money in his other businesses and reference to "hiding" is prejudicial. Pg: 87 Ln: 16 - Pg: 88 Ln: 14 Designation Pg: 87 Ln: 23 - Pg: 88 Ln: 14 Objection: FRE 403. Pg: 89 Ln: 21 - Pg: 89 Ln: 21 - Pg: 89 Ln: 21 - Pg: 90 Ln: 25 Defendant Designation Pg: 89 Ln: 25 Defendant Designation Pg: 89 Ln: 21 - Pg: 90 Ln: 25 Defendant Designation Pg: 91 Ln: 6 - Pg: 92 Ln: 1 Defendant Designation Defendant Designation Pg: 92 Ln: 1 Defendant Designation Defendant Designation Defendant Designation Pg: 90 Ln: 25 Defendant Designation Defendant Designation Defendant Designation Pg: 90 Ln: 6 - 18 Objection: FRE 403 and 402. Pg: 92 Ln: 1 Defendant Designation Pg: 91 Ln: 6 - Pg: 92 Ln: 1 Defendant Designation Pg: 92 Ln: 2 - 12 Objection: FRE 403 and 402. Pg: 91 Ln: 6 - Pg: 92 Ln: 1 Defendant Designation Pg: 92 Ln: 2 - 12 Objection: FRE 403 and 402. Pg: 92 Ln: 2 - 12 Objection: FRE 403 and 402. Pg: 92 Ln: 2 - 12 Objection: FRE 403 and 402. Pg: 92 Ln: 2 - 12 Objection: FRE 403 and 402. Pg: 92 Ln: 2 - 12 Objection: FRE 403 and 402. Pg: 92 Ln: 2 - 12 Objection: FRE 403 and 402. Pg: 92 Ln: 2 - 12 Objection: FRE 403 and 402. Pg: 92 Ln: 2 - 12 Objection: FRE 403 and 402. Pg: 92 Ln: 2 - 12 Obje	D 551 2 15	D C 1	
Pg: 77 Ln: 21 - Pg: 78 Ln: 10 Defendant Pg: 79 Ln: 25 Designation Pg: 84 Ln: 17 - Pg: 79 Ln: 25 Designation Pg: 84 Ln: 17 - Pg: 82 Ln: 14 Designation Pg: 84 Ln: 7 - 14 SEC Designation Pg: 84 Ln: 21 - Designation Pg: 84 Ln: 3 Designation Pg: 85 Ln: 1 Pg: 85 Ln: 1 Pg: 85 Ln: 2 - Pg: 85 Ln: 1 Pg: 85 Ln: 1 Objection: Vague as to "positive cash flow." FRE 402. Not relevant to SEC's claims, or proper defenses, whether deeproot Pinball would not result in positive cash flow. Pg: 85 Ln: 19 - Pg: 86 Ln: 1 Objection: FRE 403. Could risk jury confusion as SEC is not claiming that Mueller hid or failed to disclose that he could invest some of the Funds' money in his other businesses and reference to "hiding" is prejudicial. Pg: 87 Ln: 16 - Pg: 88 Ln: 14 Designation Pg: 86 Ln: 1 Objection: FRE 403. Could risk jury confusion as SEC is not claiming that Mueller hid or failed to disclose that he could invest some of the Funds' money in his other businesses and reference to "hiding" is prejudicial. Pg: 88 Ln: 14 Pg: 88 Ln: 14 Objection: FRE 403. FRE 701. Speculation because witness lacks foundation as to what investors thought and/or is providing improper opinion testimony. Testimony is inflammatory and prejudicial to the SEC. Pg: 89 Ln: 21 Defendant Designation Pg: 90 Ln: 6 - 18 Objection: FRE 403 and 402. Speculation and witness lacks foundation as to deeproot expenses. Witness' initial response: "[i]t could be an expense." Discussion of electric bills and cleaning crew expenses is not relevant. Pg: 91 Ln: 6 - Pg: 11 Ln: 6 - Pg: 12 Cobjection: FRE 403 and 402. FRE 701. Leading and improper opinion testimony and/or legal conclusion as to lease agreement. Discussion of lease agreements is not releva	Pg: // Ln: 3 - 1/		
Pg: 78 Ln: 10 Defendant Designation Pg: 84 Ln: 17 - Pg: 82 Ln: 14 Designation Pg: 84 Ln: 15 - 20 SEC Designation Pg: 84 Ln: 15 - 20 SEC Designation Pg: 84 Ln: 15 - 20 SEC Designation Pg: 84 Ln: 15 - 20 Defendant Pg: 85 Ln: 3 Defendant Designation Pg: 85 Ln: 3 Defendant Designation Pg: 85 Ln: 4 - Pg: 85 Ln: 3 Pg: 85 Ln: 1	D 77 I 21		
Pg: 78 Ln: 17 - Defendant Designation Pg: 81 Ln: 17 - Defendant Designation Pg: 84 Ln: 17 - Defendant Designation Pg: 84 Ln: 15 - 20 SEC Designation Pg: 84 Ln: 21 - Defendant Designation Pg: 85 Ln: 3 Designation Designation Pg: 85 Ln: 4 - Pg: Defendant Designation Designation Pg: 85 Ln: 1 Designation Pg: 86 Ln: 1 Objection: FRE 403. Could risk jury confusion as SEC is not claiming that Mueller hid or failed to disclose that he could invest some of the Funds' money in his other businesses and reference to "hiding" is prejudicial. Pg: 86 Ln: 16 - 22 Objection: Leading and vague. Pg: 87 Ln: 16 - Pg: 88 Ln: 14 Designation Pg: 87 Ln: 23 - Pg: 88 Ln: 14 Objection: FRE 403. FRE 701. Speculation because witness lacks foundation as to what investors thought and/or is providing improper opinion testimony. Testimony is inflammatory and prejudicial to the SEC. Pg: 89 Ln: 21 - Defendant Designation Pg: 90 Ln: 6 - 18 Objection: FRE 403 and 402. Speculation and witness lacks foundation as to deeproot expenses. Witness' initial response: "[i]t could be an expense." Discussion of electric bills and cleaning crew expenses is not relevant. Pg: 91 Ln: 6 - 12 Objection: FRE 403 and 402. FRE 701. Leading and improper opinion testimony and/or legal conclusion as to lease agreement. Discussion of lease agreements is not relevant.		SEC Designation	
Pg: 79 Ln: 25 Designation Pg: 81 Ln: 17 - pg: 82 Ln: 14 Designation Pg: 84 Ln: 7 - 14 SEC Designation Pg: 84 Ln: 15 - 20 SEC Designation Pg: 84 Ln: 21 - pg: 85 Ln: 3 Designation Pg: 85 Ln: 4 - Pg: 86 Ln: 4 - Pg: 86 Ln: 1 Designation Pg: 85 Ln: 4 - Pg: 86 Ln: 4 - Pg: 86 Ln: 1 Designation Pg: 85 Ln: 4 - Pg: 86 Ln: 1 Designation Pg: 85 Ln: 4 - Pg: 86 Ln: 1 Designation Pg: 85 Ln: 4 - Pg: 86 Ln: 1 Designation Pg: 86 Ln: 2 - 22 Defendant Designation Pg: 87 Ln: 16 - Pg: 88 Ln: 14 Designation Pg: 87 Ln: 16 - Pg: 88 Ln: 14 Designation Pg: 87 Ln: 16 - Pg: 88 Ln: 14 Designation Pg: 89 Ln: 21 - Pg: 89 Ln: 21 - Pg: 80 Ln: 25 Defendant Designation Pg: 89 Ln: 21 - Pg: 80 Ln: 25 Defendant Designation Pg: 90 Ln: 25 Defendant Designation Pg: 90 Ln: 26 Defendant Designation Pg: 90 Ln: 27 Defendant Designation Pg: 90 Ln: 29 Defendant Designation Pg: 90 Ln: 6 - 18 Objection: FRE 403 and 402. Speculation and witness lacks foundation as to deeproot expenses. Witness' init		D C 1 /	
Pg: 81 Ln: 17 - Pg: 82 Ln: 14			
Pg: 84 Ln: 7 - 14 Designation Pg: 84 Ln: 15 - 20 SEC Designation Pg: 84 Ln: 15 - 20 Defendant Designation Pg: 85 Ln: 3 Defendant Designation Pg: 85 Ln: 4 - Pg: 86 Ln: 1 Defendant Designation Pg: 85 Ln: 4 - Pg: 86 Ln: 1 Defendant Designation Pg: 85 Ln: 14 - Pg: 86 Ln: 1 Defendant Designation Pg: 85 Ln: 19 - Pg: 86 Ln: 1 Objection: FRE 403. Could risk jury confusion as SEC is not claiming that Mueller hid or failed to disclose that he could invest some of the Funds' money in his other businesses and reference to "hiding" is prejudicial. Pg: 86 Ln: 2 - 22 Defendant Designation Pg: 87 Ln: 16 - Pg: 88 Ln: 14 Pg: 87 Ln: 23 - Pg: 88 Ln: 14 Objection: FRE 403. FRE 701. Speculation because witness lacks foundation as to what investors thought and/or is providing improper opinion testimony. Testimony is inflammatory and prejudicial to the SEC. Pg: 89 Ln: 4 - 20 Defendant Designation Pg: 90 Ln: 6 - 18 Objection: FRE 403 and 402. Speculation and witness lacks foundation as to deeproot expenses. Witness' initial response: "[i]t could be an expense." Discussion of electric bills and cleaning crew expenses is not relevant. Pg: 91 Ln: 6 - Pg: 92 Ln: 1 Defendant Designation Pg: 91 Ln: 6 - 12 Objection: FRE 403 and 402. FRE 701. Leading and improper opinion testimony and/or legal conclusion as to lease agreement. Discussion of lease agreements is not relevant.			
Pg: 84 Ln: 15 - 20 SEC Designation Pg: 84 Ln: 15 - 20 Defendant Pg: 85 Ln: 3 Designation Pg: 85 Ln: 4 - Pg: Defendant 86 Ln: 1 Designation Pg: 85 Ln: 4 - Pg: Defendant Designation Pg: 84 Ln: 21 - Pg: 85 Ln: 3 Objection: Vague as to "positive cash flow." FRE 402. Not relevant to SEC's claims, or proper defenses, whether deeproot Pinball would not result in positive cash flow. Pg: 85 Ln: 19 - Pg: 86 Ln: 1 Objection: FRE 403. Could risk jury confusion as SEC is not claiming that Mueller hid or failed to disclose that he could invest some of the Funds' money in his other businesses and reference to "hiding" is prejudicial. Pg: 87 Ln: 16 - Pg: 88 Ln: 14 Defendant Designation Pg: 86 Ln: 16 - 22 Objection: Leading and vague. Pg: 87 Ln: 20 - Pg: 89 Ln: 21 - Pg: 89 Ln: 25 Pg: 89 Ln: 25 Pg: 90 Ln: 6 - 18 Objection: FRE 403 and 402. Speculation and witness lacks foundation as to deeproot expenses. Witness' initial response: "[i]t could be an expense." Discussion of electric bills and cleaning crew expenses is not relevant. Pg: 91 Ln: 6 - Pg: 92 Ln: 1 Defendant Designation Pg: 91 Ln: 6 - 12 Objection: FRE 403 and 402. FRE 701. Leading and improper opinion testimony and/or legal conclusion as to lease agreement. Discussion of lease agreements is not relevant.	-		
Pg: 84 Ln: 15 - 20 SEC Designation Pg: 84 Ln: 21 - Defendant Pg: 85 Ln: 3 Defendant Designation Pg: 85 Ln: 4 - Pg: B6 Ln: 1 Defendant Designation Pg: 85 Ln: 4 - Pg: B6 Ln: 1 Defendant Designation Pg: 85 Ln: 4 - Pg: B6 Ln: 1 Designation Pg: 85 Ln: 4 - Pg: B6 Ln: 1 Designation Pg: 85 Ln: 19 - Pg: 86 Ln: 1 Objection: FRE 403. Could risk jury confusion as SEC is not claiming that Mueller hid or failed to disclose that he could invest some of the Funds' money in his other businesses and reference to "hiding" is prejudicial. Pg: 86 Ln: 2 - 22 Defendant Designation Pg: 86 Ln: 16 - 22 Objection: Leading and vague. Pg: 87 Ln: 16 - Pg: 88 Ln: 14 Designation Pg: 87 Ln: 23 - Pg: 88 Ln: 14 Objection: FRE 403. FRE 701. Speculation because witness lacks foundation as to what investors thought and/or is providing improper opinion testimony. Testimony is inflammatory and prejudicial to the SEC. Pg: 89 Ln: 4 - 20 Defendant Designation Pg: 90 Ln: 6 - 18 Objection: FRE 403 and 402. Speculation and witness lacks foundation as to deeproot expenses. Witness' initial response: "[i] tould be an expense." Discussion of electric bills and cleaning crew expenses is not relevant. Pg: 91 Ln: 6 - Pg: Defendant Designation Pg: 91 Ln: 6 - 12 Objection: FRE 403 and 402. FRE 701. Leading and improper opinion testimony and/or legal conclusion as to lease agreement. Discussion of lease agreements is not relevant.			
Pg: 84 Ln: 21 - Pg: 85 Ln: 3 Designation Pg: 85 Ln: 4 - Pg: B6 Ln: 1 Defendant Designation Pg: 84 Ln: 21 - Pg: 85 Ln: 3 Objection: Vague as to "positive cash flow." FRE 402. Not relevant to SEC's claims, or proper defenses, whether deeproot Pinball would not result in positive cash flow. Pg: 85 Ln: 19 - Pg: 86 Ln: 1 Objection: FRE 403. Could risk jury confusion as SEC is not claiming that Mueller hid or failed to disclose that he could invest some of the Funds' money in his other businesses and reference to "hiding" is prejudicial. Pg: 86 Ln: 2 - 22 Defendant Designation Pg: 86 Ln: 16 - 22 Objection: Leading and vague. Designation Pg: 87 Ln: 16 - Pg: 88 Ln: 14 Designation Pg: 87 Ln: 23 - Pg: 88 Ln: 14 Objection: FRE 403. FRE 701. Speculation because witness lacks foundation as to what investors thought and/or is providing improper opinion testimony. Testimony is inflammatory and prejudicial to the SEC. Pg: 89 Ln: 4 - 20 Defendant Designation Pg: 90 Ln: 6 - 18 Objection: FRE 403 and 402. Speculation and witness lacks foundation as to deeproot expenses. Witness' initial response: "[i]t could be an expense." Discussion of electric bills and cleaming crew expenses is not relevant. Pg: 91 Ln: 6 - Pg: 92 Ln: 1 Defendant Designation Pg: 91 Ln: 6 - 12 Objection: FRE 403 and 402. FRE 701. Leading and improper opinion testimony and/or legal conclusion as to lease agreement. Discussion of lease agreements is not relevant.			
Pg: 85 Ln: 3 Designation Pg: 85 Ln: 4 - Pg: Defendant 86 Ln: 1 Designation Pg: 84 Ln: 21 - Pg: 85 Ln: 3 Objection: Vague as to "positive cash flow." FRE 402. Not relevant to SEC's claims, or proper defenses, whether deeproot Pinball would not result in positive cash flow. Pg: 85 Ln: 19 - Pg: 86 Ln: 1 Objection: FRE 403. Could risk jury confusion as SEC is not claiming that Mueller hid or failed to disclose that he could invest some of the Funds' money in his other businesses and reference to "hiding" is prejudicial. Pg: 86 Ln: 2 - 22 Defendant Designation Pg: 86 Ln: 16 - 22 Objection: Leading and vague. Pg: 87 Ln: 16 - Pg: 88 Ln: 14 Objection: FRE 403. FRE 701. Speculation because witness lacks foundation as to what investors thought and/or is providing improper opinion testimony. Testimony is inflammatory and prejudicial to the SEC. Pg: 89 Ln: 4 - 20 Defendant Designation Pg: 90 Ln: 6 - 18 Objection: FRE 403 and 402. Speculation and witness lacks foundation as to deeproot expenses. Witness' initial response: "[i]t could be an expense." Discussion of electric bills and cleaning crew expenses is not relevant. Pg: 91 Ln: 6 - Pg: 92 Ln: 1 Defendant Designation Pg: 91 Ln: 6 - 12 Objection: FRE 403 and 402. FRE 701. Leading and improper opinion testimony and/or legal conclusion as to lease agreement. Discussion of elease agreements is not relevant.	Pg: 84 Ln: 15 - 20	SEC Designation	
Pg: 85 Ln: 4 - Pg: Defendant 86 Ln: 1 Designation Pg: 84 Ln: 21 - Pg: 85 Ln: 3 Objection: Vague as to "positive cash flow." FRE 402. Not relevant to SEC's claims, or proper defenses, whether deeproot Pinball would not result in positive cash flow. Pg: 85 Ln: 19 - Pg: 86 Ln: 1 Objection: FRE 403. Could risk jury confusion as SEC is not claiming that Mueller hid or failed to disclose that he could invest some of the Funds' money in his other businesses and reference to "hiding" is prejudicial. Pg: 86 Ln: 2 - 22 Defendant Designation Pg: 87 Ln: 16 - 22 Objection: Leading and vague. Designation Pg: 87 Ln: 16 - Defendant Pg: 87 Ln: 23 - Pg: 88 Ln: 14 Objection: FRE 403. FRE 701. Speculation because witness lacks foundation as to what investors thought and/or is providing improper opinion testimony. Testimony is inflammatory and prejudicial to the SEC. Pg: 89 Ln: 4 - 20 Defendant Designation Pg: 90 Ln: 6 - 18 Objection: FRE 403 and 402. Speculation and witness lacks foundation as to deeproot expenses. Witness' initial response: "[i]t could be an expense." Discussion of electric bills and cleaning crew expenses is not relevant. Pg: 91 Ln: 6 - Pg: 91 Ln: 6 - Pg: Defendant Designation Pg: 91 Ln: 6 - 12 Objection: FRE 403 and 402. FRE 701. Leading and improper opinion testimony and/or legal conclusion as to lease agreement. Discussion of lease agreements is not relevant.	Pg: 84 Ln: 21 -		
to "positive cash flow." FRE 402. Not relevant to SEC's claims, or proper defenses, whether deeproot Pinball would not result in positive cash flow. Pg: 85 Ln: 19 - Pg: 86 Ln: 1 Objection: FRE 403. Could risk jury confusion as SEC is not claiming that Mueller hid or failed to disclose that he could invest some of the Funds' money in his other businesses and reference to "hiding" is prejudicial. Pg: 86 Ln: 2 - 22 Defendant Designation Pg: 87 Ln: 16 - Defendant Designation Pg: 87 Ln: 16 - Designation Pg: 88 Ln: 14 Designation Pg: 89 Ln: 23 - Pg: 88 Ln: 14 Objection: FRE 403. FRE 701. Speculation because witness lacks foundation as to what investors thought and/or is providing improper opinion testimony. Testimony is inflammatory and prejudicial to the SEC. Pg: 89 Ln: 21 - Defendant Designation Pg: 89 Ln: 25 Defendant Designation Pg: 90 Ln: 6 - 18 Objection: FRE 403 and 402. Speculation and witness lacks foundation as to deeproot expenses. Witness' initial response: "[i]t could be an expense." Discussion of electric bills and cleaning crew expenses is not relevant. Pg: 91 Ln: 6 - Pg: Defendant Designation Pg: 91 Ln: 6 - 12 Objection: FRE 403 and 402. FRE 701. Leading and improper opinion testimony and/or legal conclusion as to lease agreement. Discussion of lease agreements is not relevant.	Pg: 85 Ln: 3	Designation	
SEC's claims, or proper defenses, whether deeproot Pinball would not result in positive cash flow. Pg: 85 Ln: 19 - Pg: 86 Ln: 1 Objection: FRE 403. Could risk jury confusion as SEC is not claiming that Mueller hid or failed to disclose that he could invest some of the Funds' money in his other businesses and reference to "hiding" is prejudicial. Pg: 86 Ln: 2 - 22 Defendant Designation Pg: 87 Ln: 16 - Defendant Designation Pg: 87 Ln: 16 - Defendant Designation Pg: 88 Ln: 14 Designation Pg: 89 Ln: 21 - Defendant Designation Pg: 89 Ln: 21 - Defendant Designation Pg: 89 Ln: 25 Defendant Designation Pg: 90 Ln: 6 - 18 Objection: FRE 403 and 402. Speculation and witness lacks foundation as to deeproot expenses. Witness' initial response: "[i]t could be an expense." Discussion of electric bills and cleaning crew expenses is not relevant. Pg: 91 Ln: 6 - Pg: Defendant Designation Pg: 91 Ln: 6 - 12 Objection: FRE 403 and 402. FRE 701. Leading and improper opinion testimony and/or legal conclusion as to lease agreement. Discussion of lease agreements is not relevant.	Pg: 85 Ln: 4 - Pg:	Defendant	Pg: 84 Ln: 21 - Pg: 85 Ln: 3 Objection: Vague as
deeproot Pinball would not result in positive cash flow. Pg: 85 Ln: 19 - Pg: 86 Ln: 1 Objection: FRE 403. Could risk jury confusion as SEC is not claiming that Mueller hid or failed to disclose that he could invest some of the Funds' money in his other businesses and reference to "hiding" is prejudicial. Pg: 86 Ln: 2 - 22 Defendant Designation Pg: 87 Ln: 16 - Defendant Designation Pg: 88 Ln: 14 Designation Pg: 88 Ln: 14 Designation Pg: 89 Ln: 21 - Defendant Designation Pg: 89 Ln: 21 - Defendant Designation Pg: 89 Ln: 25 Defendant Designation Pg: 90 Ln: 25 Designation Pg: 91 Ln: 6 - Pg: Defendant Designation	86 Ln: 1	Designation	to "positive cash flow." FRE 402. Not relevant to
flow. Pg: 85 Ln: 19 - Pg: 86 Ln: 1 Objection: FRE 403. Could risk jury confusion as SEC is not claiming that Mueller hid or failed to disclose that he could invest some of the Funds' money in his other businesses and reference to "hiding" is prejudicial. Pg: 86 Ln: 2 - 22 Defendant Designation Pg: 87 Ln: 16 - Pg: 87 Ln: 16 - Designation Pg: 88 Ln: 14 Designation Pg: 87 Ln: 23 - Pg: 88 Ln: 14 Objection: FRE 403. FRE 701. Speculation because witness lacks foundation as to what investors thought and/or is providing improper opinion testimony. Testimony is inflammatory and prejudicial to the SEC. Pg: 89 Ln: 4 - 20 Defendant Designation Pg: 90 Ln: 6 - 18 Objection: FRE 403 and 402. Speculation and witness lacks foundation as to deeproot expenses. Witness' initial response: "[i]t could be an expense." Discussion of electric bills and cleaning crew expenses is not relevant. Pg: 91 Ln: 6 - Pg: Defendant Designation Pg: 91 Ln: 6 - 12 Objection: FRE 403 and 402. FRE 701. Leading and improper opinion testimony and/or legal conclusion as to lease agreement. Discussion of lease agreements is not relevant.			SEC's claims, or proper defenses, whether
Pg: 85 Ln: 19 - Pg: 86 Ln: 1 Objection: FRE 403. Could risk jury confusion as SEC is not claiming that Mueller hid or failed to disclose that he could invest some of the Funds' money in his other businesses and reference to "hiding" is prejudicial. Pg: 86 Ln: 2 - 22 Defendant Designation Pg: 87 Ln: 16 - Defendant Designation Pg: 88 Ln: 14 Designation Pg: 87 Ln: 23 - Pg: 88 Ln: 14 Objection: FRE 403. FRE 701. Speculation because witness lacks foundation as to what investors thought and/or is providing improper opinion testimony. Testimony is inflammatory and prejudicial to the SEC. Pg: 89 Ln: 4 - 20 Defendant Designation Pg: 89 Ln: 21 - Defendant Designation Pg: 90 Ln: 25 Designation Pg: 90 Ln: 6 - 18 Objection: FRE 403 and 402. Speculation and witness lacks foundation as to deeproot expenses. Witness' initial response: "[i]t could be an expense." Discussion of electric bills and cleaning crew expenses is not relevant. Pg: 91 Ln: 6 - Pg: Defendant Designation Pg: 91 Ln: 6 - 12 Objection: FRE 403 and 402. FRE 701. Leading and improper opinion testimony and/or legal conclusion as to lease agreement. Discussion of lease agreements is not relevant.			deeproot Pinball would not result in positive cash
Could risk jury confusion as SEC is not claiming that Mueller hid or failed to disclose that he could invest some of the Funds' money in his other businesses and reference to "hiding" is prejudicial. Pg: 86 Ln: 2 - 22 Defendant Designation Pg: 87 Ln: 16 - Defendant Designation Pg: 88 Ln: 14 Designation Pg: 88 Ln: 14 Designation Pg: 89 Ln: 4 - 20 Defendant Designation Pg: 89 Ln: 21 - Defendant Designation Pg: 90 Ln: 25 Defendant Designation Pg: 90 Ln: 25 Designation Pg: 91 Ln: 6 - Pg: Defendant Designation			flow.
Could risk jury confusion as SEC is not claiming that Mueller hid or failed to disclose that he could invest some of the Funds' money in his other businesses and reference to "hiding" is prejudicial. Pg: 86 Ln: 2 - 22 Defendant Designation Pg: 87 Ln: 16 - Defendant Designation Pg: 88 Ln: 14 Designation Pg: 88 Ln: 14 Designation Pg: 89 Ln: 4 - 20 Defendant Designation Pg: 89 Ln: 21 - Defendant Designation Pg: 90 Ln: 25 Defendant Designation Pg: 90 Ln: 25 Designation Pg: 91 Ln: 6 - Pg: Defendant Designation			
that Mueller hid or failed to disclose that he could invest some of the Funds' money in his other businesses and reference to "hiding" is prejudicial. Pg: 86 Ln: 2 - 22 Defendant Designation Pg: 87 Ln: 16 - Defendant Designation Pg: 87 Ln: 16 - Defendant Designation Pg: 88 Ln: 14 Designation Pg: 88 Ln: 14 Designation Pg: 89 Ln: 4 - 20 Defendant Designation Pg: 89 Ln: 21 - Defendant Designation Pg: 90 Ln: 25 Defendant Designation Pg: 90 Ln: 6 - 18 Objection: FRE 403 and 402. Speculation and witness lacks foundation as to deeproot expenses. Witness' initial response: "[i]t could be an expense." Discussion of electric bills and cleaning crew expenses is not relevant. Pg: 91 Ln: 6 - Pg: Defendant Designation Pg: 91 Ln: 6 - 12 Objection: FRE 403 and 402. FRE 701. Leading and improper opinion testimony and/or legal conclusion as to lease agreement. Discussion of lease agreements is not relevant.			Pg: 85 Ln: 19 - Pg: 86 Ln: 1 Objection: FRE 403.
invest some of the Funds' money in his other businesses and reference to "hiding" is prejudicial. Pg: 86 Ln: 2 - 22 Defendant Designation Pg: 87 Ln: 16 - Pg: 88 Ln: 14 Designation Pg: 87 Ln: 23 - Pg: 88 Ln: 14 Objection: FRE 403. FRE 701. Speculation because witness lacks foundation as to what investors thought and/or is providing improper opinion testimony. Testimony is inflammatory and prejudicial to the SEC. Pg: 89 Ln: 21 - Pg: 90 Ln: 25 Defendant Designation Pg: 90 Ln: 25 Defendant Objection: FRE 403 and 402. Speculation and witness lacks foundation as to deeproot expenses. Witness' initial response: "[i]t could be an expense." Discussion of electric bills and cleaning crew expenses is not relevant. Pg: 91 Ln: 6 - Pg: Defendant Designation Designation Pg: 91 Ln: 6 - Pg: Defendant Designation			Could risk jury confusion as SEC is not claiming
businesses and reference to "hiding" is prejudicial. Pg: 86 Ln: 2 - 22 Defendant Designation Pg: 87 Ln: 16 - Pg: 88 Ln: 14 Designation Pg: 87 Ln: 23 - Pg: 88 Ln: 14 Objection: FRE 403. FRE 701. Speculation because witness lacks foundation as to what investors thought and/or is providing improper opinion testimony. Testimony is inflammatory and prejudicial to the SEC. Pg: 89 Ln: 21 - Pg: 89 Ln: 21 - Pg: 90 Ln: 25 Defendant Designation Pg: 90 Ln: 25 Defendant Objection: FRE 403 and 402. Speculation and witness lacks foundation as to deeproot expenses. Witness' initial response: "[i]t could be an expense." Discussion of electric bills and cleaning crew expenses is not relevant. Pg: 91 Ln: 6 - Pg: Defendant Designation Pg: 91 Ln: 6 - 12 Objection: FRE 403 and 402. FRE 701. Leading and improper opinion testimony and/or legal conclusion as to lease agreement. Discussion of lease agreements is not relevant.			that Mueller hid or failed to disclose that he could
Pg: 86 Ln: 2 - 22 Defendant Designation Pg: 87 Ln: 16 - Pg: 88 Ln: 14 Designation Pg: 87 Ln: 23 - Pg: 88 Ln: 14 Objection: FRE 403. FRE 701. Speculation because witness lacks foundation as to what investors thought and/or is providing improper opinion testimony. Testimony is inflammatory and prejudicial to the SEC. Pg: 89 Ln: 21 - Pg: 90 Ln: 25 Designation Pg: 90 Ln: 6 - 18 Objection: FRE 403 and 402. Speculation and witness lacks foundation as to deeproot expenses. Witness' initial response: "[i]t could be an expense." Discussion of electric bills and cleaning crew expenses is not relevant. Pg: 91 Ln: 6 - Pg: 92 Ln: 1 Designation prejudicial. Pg: 86 Ln: 16 - 22 Objection: Leading and vague. Pg: 87 Ln: 23 - Pg: 88 Ln: 14 Objection: FRE 403. FRE 701. Speculation because witness lacks foundarior is providing improper opinion as to deeproot expenses. Witness' initial response: "[i]t could be an expense." Discussion of electric bills and cleaning crew expenses is not relevant. Pg: 91 Ln: 6 - Pg: 92 Ln: 1 Designation Pg: 91 Ln: 6 - 12 Objection: FRE 403 and 402. FRE 701. Leading and improper opinion testimony and/or legal conclusion as to lease agreement. Discussion of lease agreements is not relevant.			invest some of the Funds' money in his other
Pg: 86 Ln: 2 - 22Defendant DesignationPg: 86 Ln: 16 - 22 Objection: Leading and vague.Pg: 87 Ln: 16 - Pg: 88 Ln: 14Defendant DesignationPg: 87 Ln: 23 - Pg: 88 Ln: 14 Objection: FRE 403. FRE 701. Speculation because witness lacks foundation as to what investors thought and/or is providing improper opinion testimony. Testimony is inflammatory and prejudicial to the SEC.Pg: 89 Ln: 4 - 20Defendant DesignationPg: 89 Ln: 21 - Pg: 90 Ln: 25Defendant DesignationPg: 90 Ln: 6 - 18 Objection: FRE 403 and 402. Speculation and witness lacks foundation as to deeproot expenses. Witness' initial response: "[i]t could be an expense." Discussion of electric bills and cleaning crew expenses is not relevant.Pg: 91 Ln: 6 - Pg: 92 Ln: 1Defendant DesignationPg: 91 Ln: 6 - 12 Objection: FRE 403 and 402. FRE 701. Leading and improper opinion testimony and/or legal conclusion as to lease agreement. Discussion of lease agreements is not relevant.			businesses and reference to "hiding" is
Pg: 87 Ln: 16 - Pg: 88 Ln: 14 Designation Pg: 87 Ln: 23 - Pg: 88 Ln: 14 Objection: FRE 403. FRE 701. Speculation because witness lacks foundation as to what investors thought and/or is providing improper opinion testimony. Testimony is inflammatory and prejudicial to the SEC. Pg: 89 Ln: 4 - 20 Defendant Designation Pg: 89 Ln: 21 - Pg: 90 Ln: 25 Defendant Designation Pg: 90 Ln: 6 - 18 Objection: FRE 403 and 402. Speculation and witness lacks foundation as to deeproot expenses. Witness' initial response: "[i]t could be an expense." Discussion of electric bills and cleaning crew expenses is not relevant. Pg: 91 Ln: 6 - Pg: 92 Ln: 1 Designation Pg: 91 Ln: 6 - 12 Objection: FRE 403 and 402. FRE 701. Leading and improper opinion testimony and/or legal conclusion as to lease agreement. Discussion of lease agreements is not relevant.			prejudicial.
Pg: 87 Ln: 16 - Pg: 88 Ln: 14Defendant DesignationPg: 87 Ln: 23 - Pg: 88 Ln: 14 Objection: FRE 403. FRE 701. Speculation because witness lacks foundation as to what investors thought and/or is providing improper opinion testimony. Testimony is inflammatory and prejudicial to the SEC.Pg: 89 Ln: 4 - 20Defendant DesignationPg: 90 Ln: 6 - 18 Objection: FRE 403 and 402.Pg: 90 Ln: 25Defendant DesignationSpeculation and witness lacks foundation as to deeproot expenses. Witness' initial response: "[i]t could be an expense." Discussion of electric bills and cleaning crew expenses is not relevant.Pg: 91 Ln: 6 - Pg: 92 Ln: 1Defendant DesignationPg: 91 Ln: 6 - 12 Objection: FRE 403 and 402. FRE 701. Leading and improper opinion testimony and/or legal conclusion as to lease agreement. Discussion of lease agreements is not relevant.	Pg: 86 Ln: 2 - 22	Defendant	Pg: 86 Ln: 16 - 22 Objection: Leading and vague.
Pg: 88 Ln: 14 Designation 403. FRE 701. Speculation because witness lacks foundation as to what investors thought and/or is providing improper opinion testimony. Testimony is inflammatory and prejudicial to the SEC. Pg: 89 Ln: 4 - 20 Defendant Designation Pg: 89 Ln: 21 - Pg: 90 Ln: 25 Designation Pg: 90 Ln: 6 - 18 Objection: FRE 403 and 402. Speculation and witness lacks foundation as to deeproot expenses. Witness' initial response: "[i]t could be an expense." Discussion of electric bills and cleaning crew expenses is not relevant. Pg: 91 Ln: 6 - Pg: 92 Ln: 1 Designation Pg: 91 Ln: 6 - 12 Objection: FRE 403 and 402. FRE 701. Leading and improper opinion testimony and/or legal conclusion as to lease agreement. Discussion of lease agreements is not relevant.		Designation	
foundation as to what investors thought and/or is providing improper opinion testimony. Testimony is inflammatory and prejudicial to the SEC. Pg: 89 Ln: 4 - 20 Defendant Designation Pg: 89 Ln: 21 - Defendant Designation Pg: 90 Ln: 25 Designation Pg: 90 Ln: 6 - 18 Objection: FRE 403 and 402. Speculation and witness lacks foundation as to deeproot expenses. Witness' initial response: "[i]t could be an expense." Discussion of electric bills and cleaning crew expenses is not relevant. Pg: 91 Ln: 6 - Pg: Defendant Designation Pg: 91 Ln: 6 - 12 Objection: FRE 403 and 402. FRE 701. Leading and improper opinion testimony and/or legal conclusion as to lease agreement. Discussion of lease agreements is not relevant.	Pg: 87 Ln: 16 -	Defendant	
providing improper opinion testimony. Testimony is inflammatory and prejudicial to the SEC. Pg: 89 Ln: 4 - 20 Defendant Designation Pg: 90 Ln: 6 - 18 Objection: FRE 403 and 402. Speculation and witness lacks foundation as to deeproot expenses. Witness' initial response: "[i]t could be an expense." Discussion of electric bills and cleaning crew expenses is not relevant. Pg: 91 Ln: 6 - Pg: 92 Ln: 1 Designation Pg: 91 Ln: 6 - 12 Objection: FRE 403 and 402. FRE 701. Leading and improper opinion testimony and/or legal conclusion as to lease agreement. Discussion of lease agreements is not relevant.	Pg: 88 Ln: 14	Designation	403. FRE 701. Speculation because witness lacks
Testimony is inflammatory and prejudicial to the SEC. Pg: 89 Ln: 4 - 20 Defendant Designation Pg: 89 Ln: 21 - Defendant Designation Pg: 90 Ln: 6 - 18 Objection: FRE 403 and 402. Speculation and witness lacks foundation as to deeproot expenses. Witness' initial response: "[i]t could be an expense." Discussion of electric bills and cleaning crew expenses is not relevant. Pg: 91 Ln: 6 - Pg: Defendant Pg: 91 Ln: 6 - 12 Objection: FRE 403 and 402. FRE 701. Leading and improper opinion testimony and/or legal conclusion as to lease agreement. Discussion of lease agreements is not relevant.			foundation as to what investors thought and/or is
Pg: 89 Ln: 4 - 20 Pg: 89 Ln: 21 - Pg: 90 Ln: 25 Designation Pg: 90 Ln: 6 - 18 Objection: FRE 403 and 402. Speculation and witness lacks foundation as to deeproot expenses. Witness' initial response: "[i]t could be an expense." Discussion of electric bills and cleaning crew expenses is not relevant. Pg: 91 Ln: 6 - Pg: Pg: 91 Ln: 6 - 12 Objection: FRE 403 and 402. FRE 701. Leading and improper opinion testimony and/or legal conclusion as to lease agreement. Discussion of lease agreements is not relevant.			providing improper opinion testimony.
Pg: 89 Ln: 4 - 20 Defendant Designation Pg: 89 Ln: 21 - Pg: 90 Ln: 25 Designation Pg: 90 Ln: 6 - 18 Objection: FRE 403 and 402. Speculation and witness lacks foundation as to deeproot expenses. Witness' initial response: "[i]t could be an expense." Discussion of electric bills and cleaning crew expenses is not relevant. Pg: 91 Ln: 6 - Pg: Pg: 91 Ln: 6 - Pg: Pg: 91 Ln: 6 - 12 Objection: FRE 403 and 402. FRE 701. Leading and improper opinion testimony and/or legal conclusion as to lease agreement. Discussion of lease agreements is not relevant.			Testimony is inflammatory and prejudicial to the
Pg: 89 Ln: 21 - Pg: 90 Ln: 25 Designation Pg: 90 Ln: 6 - 18 Objection: FRE 403 and 402. Speculation and witness lacks foundation as to deeproot expenses. Witness' initial response: "[i]t could be an expense." Discussion of electric bills and cleaning crew expenses is not relevant. Pg: 91 Ln: 6 - Pg: Defendant Pg: 91 Ln: 6 - 12 Objection: FRE 403 and 402. FRE 701. Leading and improper opinion testimony and/or legal conclusion as to lease agreement. Discussion of lease agreements is not relevant.			SEC.
Pg: 89 Ln: 21 - Pg: 90 Ln: 25 Designation Pg: 90 Ln: 6 - 18 Objection: FRE 403 and 402. Speculation and witness lacks foundation as to deeproot expenses. Witness' initial response: "[i]t could be an expense." Discussion of electric bills and cleaning crew expenses is not relevant. Pg: 91 Ln: 6 - Pg: Pg: 90 Ln: 6 - 18 Objection: FRE 403 and 402. Speculation and witness lacks foundation as to deeproot expenses. Witness' initial response: "[i]t could be an expense." Discussion of electric bills and cleaning crew expenses is not relevant. Pg: 91 Ln: 6 - 12 Objection: FRE 403 and 402. FRE 701. Leading and improper opinion testimony and/or legal conclusion as to lease agreement. Discussion of lease agreements is not relevant.	Pg: 89 Ln: 4 - 20	Defendant	
Pg: 90 Ln: 25 Designation Speculation and witness lacks foundation as to deeproot expenses. Witness' initial response: "[i]t could be an expense." Discussion of electric bills and cleaning crew expenses is not relevant. Pg: 91 Ln: 6 - Pg: Pg: 91 Ln: 6 - Pg: Defendant Designation Pg: 91 Ln: 6 - 12 Objection: FRE 403 and 402. FRE 701. Leading and improper opinion testimony and/or legal conclusion as to lease agreement. Discussion of lease agreements is not relevant.		Designation	
deeproot expenses. Witness' initial response: "[i]t could be an expense." Discussion of electric bills and cleaning crew expenses is not relevant. Pg: 91 Ln: 6 - Pg: Defendant Designation Pg: 91 Ln: 6 - 12 Objection: FRE 403 and 402. FRE 701. Leading and improper opinion testimony and/or legal conclusion as to lease agreement. Discussion of lease agreements is not relevant.	Pg: 89 Ln: 21 -	Defendant	
deeproot expenses. Witness' initial response: "[i]t could be an expense." Discussion of electric bills and cleaning crew expenses is not relevant. Pg: 91 Ln: 6 - Pg: Defendant Designation Pg: 91 Ln: 6 - 12 Objection: FRE 403 and 402. FRE 701. Leading and improper opinion testimony and/or legal conclusion as to lease agreement. Discussion of lease agreements is not relevant.	Pg: 90 Ln: 25	Designation	Speculation and witness lacks foundation as to
bills and cleaning crew expenses is not relevant. Pg: 91 Ln: 6 - Pg: Defendant Pg: 91 Ln: 6 - 12 Objection: FRE 403 and 402. FRE 701. Leading and improper opinion testimony and/or legal conclusion as to lease agreement. Discussion of lease agreements is not relevant.			
bills and cleaning crew expenses is not relevant. Pg: 91 Ln: 6 - Pg: Defendant Pg: 91 Ln: 6 - 12 Objection: FRE 403 and 402. FRE 701. Leading and improper opinion testimony and/or legal conclusion as to lease agreement. Discussion of lease agreements is not relevant.			
Pg: 91 Ln: 6 - Pg: 92 Ln: 1 Designation Pg: 91 Ln: 6 - 12 Objection: FRE 403 and 402. FRE 701. Leading and improper opinion testimony and/or legal conclusion as to lease agreement. Discussion of lease agreements is not relevant.			bills and cleaning crew expenses is not relevant.
92 Ln: 1 Designation FRE 701. Leading and improper opinion testimony and/or legal conclusion as to lease agreement. Discussion of lease agreements is not relevant.	Pg: 91 Ln: 6 - Pg:	Defendant	
agreement. Discussion of lease agreements is not relevant.		Designation	FRE 701. Leading and improper opinion
agreement. Discussion of lease agreements is not relevant.			testimony and/or legal conclusion as to lease
relevant.			
Pg: 92 Ln: 2 - 12 Defendant Pg: 92 Ln: 2 - 12 Objection: FRE 403. FRE 701.			_
	Pg: 92 Ln: 2 - 12	Defendant	Pg: 92 Ln: 2 - 12 Objection: FRE 403. FRE 701.
Designation Compound questions, asked and answered, and		Designation	

		witness is providing, without foundation,
		improper opinion testimony and/or legal conclusions as to an alleged lease agreement.
Pg: 92 Ln: 13 -	Defendant	Pg: 92 Ln: 25 - Pg: 93 Ln: 4 Objection: FRE 403.
Pg: 93 Ln: 4	Designation	FRE 701. Improper opinion testimony and/or
18.75 21	Busignation	legal conclusion as to whether payments to
		investors were properly characterized as expenses
		for deeproot entities. Testimony is prejudicial to
		the SEC.
Pg: 93 Ln: 5 - 16	Defendant	Pg: 93 Ln: 12 - 16 Objection: FRE 403.
	Designation	Speculation without foundation ("assuming), and
		vague question.
Pg: 93 Ln: 17 -	Defendant	
Pg: 94 Ln: 16	Designation	
Pg: 94 Ln: 17 - 21	SEC Designation	
Pg: 94 Ln: 22 -	Defendant	Pg: 94 Ln: 22 - Pg: 95 Ln: 3 Objection: FRE 403.
Pg: 95 Ln: 3	Designation	FRE 701. Leading, vague, assumes facts not in
		evidence, and improper opinion testimony and/or
Day 05 Lay 20	Defendant	legal conclusion as to meaning of a clause.
Pg: 95 Ln: 20 -	Designation	
Pg: 96 Ln: 3 Pg: 96 Ln: 25 -	Defendant	
Pg: 97 Ln: 6	Designation	
Pg: 97 Ln: 7 - Pg:	SEC Designation	
98 Ln: 4	SEC Designation	
Pg: 98 Ln: 5 - 13	SEC Designation	
Pg: 98 Ln: 14 -	Defendant	Pg. 100 Ln: 21 – 23: Objection: FRE 403.
Pg: 101 Ln: 3	Designation	Speculation as witness testified he did not know
		who or what required a proxy to be sent. Unfair
		prejudice to the SEC.
		D. 100 I . 24 D. 101 I . 2 Oli . 4 EDE
		Pg: 100 Ln: 24 - Pg: 101 Ln: 3 Objection: FRE 403. Leading and speculation for the reasons
		detailed above regarding lack of foundation by
		witness.
Pg: 101 Ln: 4 -	Defendant	Pg: 102 Ln: 5 - 13 Objection: FRE 403. Lack of
Pg: 102 Ln: 13	Designation	foundation. Witness did not have a conversation
<i>G</i> :	<i>B</i>	with lawyers nor does not recall a specific
		conversation with Mueller and risk of jury
		confusion.
Pg: 102 Ln: 14 -	Defendant	
Pg: 103 Ln: 3	Designation	
Pg: 103 Ln: 4 - 22	Defendant	Pg: 103 Ln: 17 - 22 Objection: FRE 403.
	Designation	Leading and vague as to "regular"
		communications.

Pg: 104 Ln: 4 -	Defendant	Pg: 108 Ln: 10 - 24 Objection: FRE 403. FRE
Pg: 109 Ln: 8	Designation	701. Vague, and speculation as witness lacks
rg. 109 Lii. 6	Designation	
		foundation as to what investors thought or what
		would provide them with confidence and/or he is
		providing improper opinion testimony. Assumes
		facts not in evidence.
Pg: 110 Ln: 11 -	Defendant	
Pg: 111 Ln: 16	Designation	
Pg: 111 Ln: 17 -	SEC Designation	
18		
Pg: 112 Ln: 12 -	SEC Designation	
14		
Pg: 113 Ln: 10 -	Defendant	
16	Designation	
Pg: 117 Ln: 13 -	SEC Designation	
20		
Pg: 117 Ln: 21 -	Defendant	Pg: 117 Ln: 21 - Pg: 118 Ln: 5 Objection: FRE
Pg: 118 Ln: 5	Designation	403. Speculation as witness lacks foundation.
		Witness testified that he did not remember
		specifics of the conversation, and expressed a
		"belief." See also, Tr. 117:13-20.
Pg: 118 Ln: 6 -	SEC Designation	·
Pg: 119 Ln: 10		
Pg: 120 Ln: 8 - 19	SEC Designation	
Pg: 121 Ln: 16 -	Defendant	Pg: 123 Ln: 7 - 13 Objection: FRE 403. Leading
Pg: 123 Ln: 13	Designation	and vague as to specific representations made.
18. 120 2 10	2 021811111211	Improper opinion testimony and/or legal
		conclusion.
Pg: 123 Ln: 14 -	Defendant	Volletabloin
23	Designation	
Pg: 123 Ln: 24 -	Defendant	Pg: 124 Ln: 20 - Pg: 125 Ln: 3 Objection: FRE
Pg: 125 Ln: 11	Designation	403. Misstates evidence.
Pg: 125 Ln: 12 -	Defendant	Pg: 126 Ln: 4 - 9 Objection: FRE 403. FRE 701.
Pg: 126 Ln: 18	Designation	Leading and improper opinion testimony and/or
1 g. 120 LH. 10	Designation	legal conclusion as to the meaning of documents.
		legal conclusion as to the meaning of documents.
		Pg: 126 Ln: 10 - 18 Objection: Vague as to how
		investors' money would be spent.
Pg: 127 Ln: 1 - 13	Defendant	mivestors money would be spent.
rg. 12/ Lii: 1 - 13		
Day 127 Lay 14	Designation Defendant	Day 127 Lay 25 Day 128 Lay 4 Objection, EDE
Pg: 127 Ln: 14 -	Defendant	Pg: 127 Ln: 25 - Pg: 128 Ln: 4 Objection: FRE
Pg: 128 Ln: 4	Designation	403. Could risk jury confusion as SEC is not
		claiming that Mueller could not be paid for his
		work or that he failed to disclose he would be
		paid.

D 1207 7 11	D C 1	D 1001 0 14 01' ' T 1' 1
Pg: 128 Ln: 5 - 14	Defendant	Pg: 128 Ln: 9 - 14 Objection: Leading and
	Designation	misstates testimony and/or evidence.
Pg: 129 Ln: 13 -	Defendant	Pg: 129 Ln: 13 - 23 Objection: FRE 403. Leading
23	Designation	and vague question that could risk jury confusion
		as SEC is not claiming that Mueller could not be
		paid for his work or that he failed to disclose he
		would be paid.
Pg: 129 Ln: 24 -	Defendant	Pg: 130 Ln: 15 - 20 Objection: FRE 403.
Pg: 130 Ln: 20	Designation	Leading and misstates testimony, and witness is
18.130 211.20	D congination	speculating as he lacks foundation to testify as to
		what another company did. Risk of jury
		confusion as SEC is not challenging what
		Mueller publicly said in the PPMs would be the
D 120 I 21	D C 1 /	Funds' investment strategy.
Pg: 130 Ln: 21 -	Defendant	Pg: 131 Ln: 25 - Pg: 132 Ln: 5 Objection:
Pg: 132 Ln: 5	Designation	Leading and vague as to how fund portfolio was
		structured.
Pg: 133 Ln: 20 -	Defendant	Pg: 134 Ln: 5 - 13 Objection: FRE 403. Leading
Pg: 135 Ln: 3	Designation	and misstates prior testimony and/or evidence as
		to how much money could be invested in
		affiliated entities.
		Pg: 134 Ln: 14 - 20 Objection: Leading and
		vague as to specific entities being discussed.
		The second secon
		Pg: 134 Ln: 21 - Pg: 135 Ln: 3 Objection:
		Leading, vague, and misstates prior testimony
		and/or evidence as to investment in affiliated
		entities.
Pg: 135 Ln: 4 -	Defendant	Pg: 136 Ln: 14 - 20 Objection: Leading and
•	Designation	_ =
Pg: 136 Ln: 25		misstates prior testimony and/or evidence.
Pg: 137 Ln: 1 - 21	Defendant	
D 100 Y 10	Designation	
Pg: 138 Ln: 12 -	SEC Designation	
16		
Pg: 138 Ln: 17 -	Defendant	
21	Designation	
Pg: 139 Ln: 12 -	Defendant	Pg: 139 Ln: 12 - 17 Objection: FRE 403. FRE
17	Designation	701. Leading and improper opinion testimony
		and/or legal conclusion as to the meaning of a
		clause in a report.
Pg: 139 Ln: 18 -	Defendant	Pg: 140 Ln: 2 - 7 Objection: Leading and vague.
Pg: 141 Ln: 15	Designation	25. 2.0 Zii. 2 7 Sojetioni Leading and Augue.
15. 111 111. 13	2 001511411011	Pg: 141 Ln: 4 - 15 Objection: Leading and vague.
Day 1/11 I ny 16	Defendant	Pg: 141 Ln: 16 - 23 Objection: FRE 403. Leading
Pg: 141 Ln: 16 -		1 5
23	Designation	and asks witness to speculate by providing

		improper opinion testimony and/or legal conclusion.
Pg: 141 Ln: 24 - Pg: 143 Ln: 11	Defendant Designation	Pg: 142 Ln: 4 - 10 Objection: FRE 403. Leading and compound question that asks witness to speculate by providing improper opinion testimony and/or legal conclusion.
		Pg: 142 Ln: 11 - 16 Objection: Leading and vague.
		Pg: 142 Ln: 22 - Pg: 143 Ln: 3 Objection: Leading and misstates prior testimony.
		Pg: 143 Ln: 4 - 11 Objection: FRE 403. FRE 701. Leading and misstates prior testimony and/or evidence. Asked witness to speculate by providing improper opinion testimony and/or legal conclusion.
Pg: 143 Ln: 12 - 20	Defendant Designation	Pg: 143 Ln: 12 - 20 Objection: FRE 403. FRE 701. Leading and asked witness to speculate by providing improper opinion testimony and/or legal conclusion.
Pg: 145 Ln: 13 - 22	SEC Designation	
Pg: 147 Ln: 21 - 23	SEC Designation	
Pg: 147 Ln: 24 -	Defendant	
Pg: 148 Ln: 5 Pg: 152 Ln: 14 - 18	Designation SEC Designation	
Pg: 153 Ln: 12 -	SEC Designation	
Pg: 153 Ln: 23 - Pg: 155 Ln: 2	Defendant Designation	Pg: 154 Ln: 19 - 23 Objection: FRE 403. Leading and vague as to "concerns." Risk of jury confusion as SEC is not claiming there is a problem with PPMs.
Pg: 160 Ln: 20 - 25	SEC Designation	
Pg: 161 Ln: 8 - Pg: 162 Ln: 6	SEC Designation	
Pg: 162 Ln: 12 - Pg: 163 Ln: 3	Defendant Designation	Pg: 162 Ln: 19 - 24 Objection: Vague.
Pg: 163 Ln: 25 - Pg: 164 Ln: 11	SEC Designation	
Pg: 164 Ln: 24 - Pg: 165 Ln: 13	SEC Designation	

Pg: 165 Ln: 14 -	Defendant	
Pg: 167 Ln: 7	Designation	
Pg: 167 Ln: 8 - 22	Defendant	
1 g. 107 Lii. 6 - 22	Designation	
Pg: 167 Ln: 23 -	Defendant	
Pg: 168 Ln: 15	Designation	
Pg: 168 Ln: 16 -	Defendant	
Pg: 169 Ln: 5	Designation	
Pg: 169 Ln: 6 -	Defendant	
Pg: 170 Ln: 17	Designation	
Pg: 170 Ln: 17	Defendant	
Pg: 170 Ln: 18 -	Designation	
	Designation	
Pg: 171 Ln: 16 - 23		
	Designation Defendant	
Pg: 171 Ln: 24 - Pg: 173 Ln: 2		
Pg: 173 Ln: 2 Pg: 173 Ln: 7 - 25	Designation Defendant	
rg. 1/3 LII: / - 23	Designation Designation	
Day 174 I ay 1 0	U	
Pg: 174 Ln: 1 - 9	SEC Designation	D 1741 10 16 01' ' EDE 002 H
Pg: 174 Ln: 10 -	Defendant	Pg: 174 Ln: 10 - 16 Objection: FRE 802 Hearsay,
Pg: 175 Ln: 3	Designation	and leading.
		Pg: 174 Ln: 23 - Pg: 175 Ln: 3 Objection: FRE 403. Leading, vague, and misstates prior testimony.
Pg: 175 Ln: 4 -	Defendant	Pg: 177 Ln: 4 - 12 Objection: FRE 403. FRE 701.
Pg: 177 Ln: 23	Designation	Leading, vague, compound and asked witness to speculate by providing improper opinion testimony and/or legal conclusion. Risk of jury confusion as SEC is not claiming there is a problem with PPMs.
		Pg: 177 Ln: 13 - 18 Objection: FRE 403. FRE 701. Leading and asked witness to speculate by providing improper opinion testimony and/or legal conclusion.
Pg: 177 Ln: 24 -	SEC Designation	
Pg: 178 Ln: 4		
Pg: 178 Ln: 5 - 25	Defendant	
	Designation	
Pg: 179 Ln: 1 - 16	Defendant	
	Designation	
Pg: 179 Ln: 22 -	Defendant	Pg: 180 Ln: 10 - 15 Objection: Leading and
Pg: 180 Ln: 15	Designation	misstates prior testimony and/or evidence.
Pg: 180 Ln: 16 -	Defendant	Pg: 180 Ln: 21 - Pg: 181 Ln: 3 Objection: FRE
Pg: 181 Ln: 3	Designation	403. FRE 701. Leading and asked witness to

		speculate by providing improper opinion
		testimony and/or legal conclusion.
Pg: 181 Ln: 4 - 25	Defendant	vectorineity untailor regar contrastorio
18.1012 25	Designation	
Pg: 182 Ln: 13 -	Defendant	Pg: 184 Ln: 2 - 7 Objection: Form, leading and
Pg: 184 Ln: 7	Designation	misstates the document and/or evidence from
I g. 10 · Ziii /	2 congination	base salary to salary
Pg: 184 Ln: 8 -	Defendant	out of the survey
Pg: 185 Ln: 9	Designation	
Pg: 185 Ln: 10 -	Defendant	Pg: 186 Ln: 2 - 7 Objection: Leading, vague and
Pg: 186 Ln: 10	Designation	misstates prior testimony and/or evidence.
Pg: 186 Ln: 11 -	Defendant	
Pg: 187 Ln: 11	Designation	
Pg: 187 Ln: 21 -	Defendant	
Pg: 188 Ln: 4	Designation	
Pg: 188 Ln: 5 - 25	Defendant	Pg: 188 Ln: 10 - 25 Objection: Leading, vague,
	Designation	and compound.
Pg: 189 Ln: 1 - 5	Defendant	Pg: 189 Ln: 1 - 5 Objection: Leading and vague.
	Designation	
Pg: 189 Ln: 9 - 21	Defendant	Pg: 189 Ln: 17 - 21 Objection: Leading and
	Designation	vague.
Pg: 189 Ln: 22 -	Defendant	Pg: 190 Ln: 6 - 10 Objection: Leading and
Pg: 190 Ln: 10	Designation	misstates prior testimony and/or evidence.
Pg: 190 Ln: 11 -	Defendant	Pg: 191 Ln: 20 - 22 Objection: Vague.
23	Designation	
Pg: 191 Ln: 12 -	Defendant	
22	Designation	
Pg: 191 Ln: 23 -	Defendant	
25	Designation	
Pg: 192 Ln: 1 - 4	SEC Designation	
Pg: 192 Ln: 17 -	SEC Designation	
Pg: 193 Ln: 2		
Pg: 193 Ln: 3 - 9	SEC Designation	
Pg: 193 Ln: 10 -	SEC Designation	
15		
Pg: 195 Ln: 6 -	Defendant	
Pg: 196 Ln: 9	Designation	
Pg: 196 Ln: 10 -	Defendant	Pg: 196 Ln: 22 - Pg: 197 Ln: 4 Objection:
Pg: 197 Ln: 4	Designation	Leading and compound.
Pg: 197 Ln: 5 -	Defendant	
Pg: 198 Ln: 12	Designation	
Pg: 198 Ln: 13 -	Defendant	Pg: 198 Ln: 16 - 20 Objection: Leading and
Pg: 199 Ln: 15	Designation	misstates prior testimony and/or document.

		Pg: 199 Ln: 2 - 15 Objection: FRE 403. FRE 701.
		Vague and asked witness to speculate by
		providing improper opinion testimony and/or
		legal conclusion about a document he is not
		certain he reviewed. See Tr. 198:21-23.
Pg: 199 Ln: 16 -	Defendant	
Pg: 200 Ln: 4	Designation	
Pg: 200 Ln: 5 -	Defendant	Pg: 200 Ln: 17 - 22 Objection: Vague.
Pg: 201 Ln: 1	Designation	
Pg: 201 Ln: 9 -	Defendant	
Pg: 203 Ln: 13	Designation	
Pg: 203 Ln: 14 -	Defendant	
Pg: 205 Ln: 17	Designation	
Pg: 205 Ln: 18 -	Defendant	
Pg: 206 Ln: 5	Designation	
Pg: 206 Ln: 6 - 25	Defendant	
	Designation	
Pg: 207 Ln: 1 - 4	SEC Designation	
Pg: 207 Ln: 9 -	Defendant	
Pg: 208 Ln: 10	Designation	
Pg: 208 Ln: 11 -	Defendant	Pg: 208 Ln: 18 - 21 Objection: Leading and
21	Designation	vague.
Pg: 208 Ln: 22 -	Defendant	Pg: 209 Ln: 2 - 7 Objection: Leading and
Pg: 209 Ln: 7	Designation	misstates prior testimony and/or evidence.
Pg: 209 Ln: 16 -	Defendant	
Pg: 211 Ln: 1	Designation	
Pg: 211 Ln: 2 - 7	SEC Designation	
Pg: 211 Ln: 19 -	SEC Designation	
24		
Pg: 212 Ln: 8 -	SEC Designation	
Pg: 213 Ln: 4		
Pg: 213 Ln: 5 -	Defendant	Pg: 213 Ln: 11 - 14 Objection: Vague.
Pg: 214 Ln: 11	Designation	
Pg: 215 Ln: 18 -	SEC Designation	
24		
Pg: 215 Ln: 25 -	SEC Designation	
Pg: 216 Ln: 13		
Pg: 216 Ln: 14 -	SEC Designation	
18	67.5	
Pg: 216 Ln: 22 -	SEC Designation	
25 Pg: 217 Ln: 1 - 6	SEC Degianation	
	SEC Designation	
Pg: 217 Ln: 7 - 10	SEC Designation	
Pg: 217 Ln: 12 -	SEC Designation	
16		

Pg: 217 Ln: 21 -	Defendant	
25	Designation	
Pg: 218 Ln: 1 - 4	SEC Designation	
Pg: 218 Ln: 5 - 8	SEC Designation	
Pg: 218 Ln: 9 -	Ü	
Pg: 219 Ln: 1	SEC Designation	
Pg: 219 Ln: 6 - 8	SEC Designation	
	SEC Designation	
Pg: 219 Ln: 13 - 19	SEC Designation	
Pg: 220 Ln: 12 -	SEC Designation	
Pg: 221 Ln: 5		
Pg: 221 Ln: 7 - 14	Defendant	
	Designation	
Pg: 221 Ln: 20 - 24	SEC Designation	
Pg: 221 Ln: 25 -	Defendant	
Pg: 222 Ln: 8	Designation	
Pg: 222 Ln: 9 - 13	SEC Designation	
Pg: 222 Ln: 23 -	SEC Designation	
Pg: 223 Ln: 7		
Pg: 223 Ln: 13 -	SEC Designation	
25	C	
Pg: 224 Ln: 1 - 14	SEC Designation	
Pg: 224 Ln: 23 -	SEC Designation	
Pg: 225 Ln: 2		
Pg: 225 Ln: 5 - 18	SEC Designation	
Pg: 225 Ln: 19 -	SEC Designation	
Pg: 226 Ln: 6		
Pg: 226 Ln: 7 - 15	SEC Designation	
Pg: 226 Ln: 17 -	SEC Designation	
21		
Pg: 227 Ln: 2 - 21	SEC Designation	
Pg: 227 Ln: 22 -	Defendant	
Pg: 229 Ln: 5	Designation	
Pg: 229 Ln: 6 - 9	SEC Designation	
Pg: 229 Ln: 10 -	SEC Designation	
Pg: 229 Ln: 16 -	Defendant	
Pg: 230 Ln: 11	Designation	
Pg: 230 Ln: 12 -	SEC Designation	
16		
Pg: 231 Ln: 2 - 24	SEC Designation	
Pg: 232 Ln: 7 - 13	Defendant	
	Designation	

D 222 I 10	GEG D	
Pg: 232 Ln: 19 -	SEC Designation	
Pg: 233 Ln: 1	CEC David	
Pg: 233 Ln: 9 - 14	SEC Designation	
Pg: 233 Ln: 17 -	SEC Designation	
24	and b	
Pg: 233 Ln: 25 -	SEC Designation	
Pg: 234 Ln: 5	GEC D : ::	
Pg: 234 Ln: 6 - 12	SEC Designation	
Pg: 234 Ln: 13 - 22	SEC Designation	
Pg: 234 Ln: 23 -	SEC Designation	
Pg: 235 Ln: 12		
Pg: 235 Ln: 13 -	SEC Designation	
17		
Pg: 235 Ln: 18 -	SEC Designation	
Pg: 236 Ln: 1		
Pg: 236 Ln: 2 - 13	SEC Designation	
Pg: 236 Ln: 14 -	SEC Designation	
Pg: 237 Ln: 9		
Pg: 237 Ln: 10 -	Defendant	
22	Designation	
Pg: 237 Ln: 23 -	Defendant	
Pg: 238 Ln: 16	Designation	
Pg: 238 Ln: 17 -	SEC Designation	
Pg: 239 Ln: 3		
Pg: 239 Ln: 4 - 15	Defendant	
D 220 I 16	Designation	
Pg: 239 Ln: 16 -	SEC Designation	
Pg: 240 Ln: 4	ara b	
Pg: 240 Ln: 13 -	SEC Designation	
23 Par 240 I pr 24	SEC Designation	
Pg: 240 Ln: 24 -	SEC Designation	
Pg: 241 Ln: 18	SEC Degianation	
Pg: 241 Ln: 19 - Pg: 242 Ln: 6	SEC Designation	
Pg: 242 Ln: 6 Pg: 242 Ln: 7 - 13	SEC Designation	
Pg: 242 Ln: 14 -		
Pg: 242 Ln: 14 - Pg: 243 Ln: 22	SEC Designation	
Pg: 243 Ln: 23 -	SEC Designation	
Pg: 244 Ln: 12	BEC Designation	
Pg: 244 Ln: 16 -	SEC Designation	
19. 244 Lii. 10 -	SEC Designation	
Pg: 244 Ln: 20 -	SEC Designation	
24 Lii. 20 -	SEC Designation	
<u>_</u>		

Pg: 244 Ln: 25 -	SEC Designation	
Pg: 245 Ln: 11	CEC Danian (
Pg: 245 Ln: 12 - 20	SEC Designation	
Pg: 245 Ln: 21 -	SEC Designation	
25	O	
Pg: 246 Ln: 1 - 11	SEC Designation	
Pg: 246 Ln: 16 -	SEC Designation	
19	_	
Pg: 246 Ln: 20 -	SEC Designation	
Pg: 247 Ln: 3		
Pg: 247 Ln: 4 - 23	SEC Designation	
Pg: 247 Ln: 24 -	SEC Designation	
Pg: 248 Ln: 9		
Pg: 248 Ln: 10 -	SEC Designation	
25	and b	
Pg: 249 Ln: 11 -	SEC Designation	
22 Par 240 Lan 22	SEC Designation	
Pg: 249 Ln: 23 - Pg: 250 Ln: 7	SEC Designation	
Pg: 250 Ln: 8 - 19	SEC Designation	
Pg: 250 Ln: 25 -	SEC Designation	
Pg: 251 Ln: 12	SEC Designation	
Pg: 251 Ln: 13 -	SEC Designation	
22	SEC B esignation	
Pg: 251 Ln: 23 -	SEC Designation	
Pg: 252 Ln: 12		
Pg: 253 Ln: 15 -	SEC Designation	
Pg: 254 Ln: 10		
Pg: 254 Ln: 11 -	SEC Designation	
15		
Pg: 255 Ln: 9 - 16	SEC Designation	
Pg: 256 Ln: 1 - 18	SEC Designation	
Pg: 256 Ln: 19 -	SEC Designation	
23	CEC Decient of the	
Pg: 256 Ln: 24 - Pg: 257 Ln: 7	SEC Designation	
Pg: 257 Ln: 8 - 19	SEC Designation	
Pg: 257 Ln: 20 -	SEC Designation	
Pg: 258 Ln: 1	SEC Designation	
Pg: 258 Ln: 6 - 14	Defendant	
- 8. 200 2111 0 11	Designation	
Pg: 258 Ln: 15 -	SEC Designation	
24	G	

Pg: 259 Ln: 11 -	CEC Designation	
14	SEC Designation	
Pg: 259 Ln: 15 -	Defendant	
Pg: 260 Ln: 3	Designation	
Pg: 260 Ln: 12 -	Defendant	
22	Designation	
Pg: 260 Ln: 23 -	SEC Designation	
25 250 Ell. 23 -	SEC Designation	
Pg: 261 Ln: 6 - 24	Defendant	
	Designation	
Pg: 262 Ln: 3 - 15	Defendant	
	Designation	
Pg: 262 Ln: 16 -	SEC Designation	
Pg: 263 Ln: 6		
Pg: 263 Ln: 8 -	Defendant	
Pg: 264 Ln: 2	Designation	
Pg: 264 Ln: 3 - 18	Defendant	
8	Designation	
Pg: 264 Ln: 19 -	Defendant	
Pg: 265 Ln: 3	Designation	
Pg: 265 Ln: 4 - 12	SEC Designation	
Pg: 265 Ln: 13 -	SEC Designation	
23	SEC Designation	
Pg: 266 Ln: 10 -	SEC Designation	
17		
Pg: 267 Ln: 5 - 13	SEC Designation	
Pg: 267 Ln: 14 -	SEC Designation	
19		
Pg: 267 Ln: 24 -	SEC Designation	
Pg: 268 Ln: 15		
Pg: 268 Ln: 16 -	SEC Designation	
22		
Pg: 270 Ln: 6 - 19	SEC Designation	
Pg: 270 Ln: 20 -	SEC Designation	
Pg: 271 Ln: 3		
Pg: 271 Ln: 9 - 14	SEC Designation	
Pg: 271 Ln: 22 -	SEC Designation	
24	<i>G</i>	
Pg: 272 Ln: 8 - 20	SEC Designation	
Pg: 273 Ln: 4 - 10	SEC Designation	
Pg: 273 Ln: 25 -	Defendant	
Pg: 274 Ln: 8	Designation	
Pg: 274 Ln: 9 -	SEC Designation	
Pg: 275 Ln: 1		

Pg: 275 Ln: 15 -	SEC Designation	
23		
Pg: 276 Ln: 7 - 12	SEC Designation	
Pg: 276 Ln: 13 -	Defendant	
Pg: 277 Ln: 14	Designation	
Pg: 277 Ln: 15 -	SEC Designation	
17		
Pg: 277 Ln: 24 -	SEC Designation	
Pg: 278 Ln: 6		
Pg: 278 Ln: 7 - 12	SEC Designation	
Pg: 278 Ln: 13 -	Defendant	
23	Designation	
Pg: 278 Ln: 24 -	Defendant	
Pg: 279 Ln: 14	Designation	
Pg: 279 Ln: 15 -	SEC Designation	
Pg: 280 Ln: 6		
Pg: 280 Ln: 11 -	SEC Designation	
19		
Pg: 282 Ln: 3 - 23	Defendant	
	Designation	
Pg: 284 Ln: 13 -	Defendant	Pg: 284 Ln: 21 - 25 Objection: Vague
25	Designation	
Pg: 285 Ln: 1 - 7	SEC Designation	
Pg: 285 Ln: 13 -	SEC Designation	
Pg: 286 Ln: 14		

C. Craig Rushforth

Citation	Designating Party	Objection and/or Explanation
Pg: 6 Ln: 4 - 10	Defendant	
	Designation	
Pg: 6 Ln: 11 - 13	SEC Designation	
Pg: 6 Ln: 14 -	SEC Designation	
Pg: 7 Ln: 1	_	
Pg: 8 Ln: 14 -	Defendant	
Pg: 12 Ln: 25	Designation	
Pg: 13 Ln: 1 - 23	Defendant	
	Designation	
Pg: 13 Ln: 24 -	Defendant	
Pg: 14 Ln: 9	Designation	
Pg: 14 Ln: 10 -	Defendant	
Pg: 15 Ln: 14	Designation	
Pg: 15 Ln: 15 -	Defendant	
Pg: 17 Ln: 2	Designation	
Pg: 17 Ln: 3 - 5	SEC Designation	

Pg: 17 Ln: 10 -	Defendant	
Pg: 18 Ln: 25	Designation	
Pg: 19 Ln: 1 - 19	Defendant	Pg: 19 Ln: 8 - 13 Objection: FRE 802 Hearsay.
18. 19 2 1	Designation	1g. 19 Zar o 10 o ejeonem 11 Z oo z 11 o a a a a
Pg: 19 Ln: 20 -	Defendant	
Pg: 20 Ln: 3	Designation	
Pg: 20 Ln: 4 - 8	Defendant	
- 8:	Designation	
Pg: 20 Ln: 9 -	Defendant	
Pg: 23 Ln: 11	Designation	
Pg: 23 Ln: 12 -	Defendant	
21	Designation	
Pg: 24 Ln: 11 -	Defendant	
24	Designation	
Pg: 25 Ln: 7 - 17	Defendant	
25. 20 211. / 1/	Designation	
Pg: 26 Ln: 3 - 23	Defendant	
18.20 2 0 20	Designation	
Pg: 26 Ln: 24 -	Defendant	
Pg: 28 Ln: 19	Designation	
Pg: 28 Ln: 25 -	Defendant	
Pg: 30 Ln: 1	Designation	
Pg: 30 Ln: 2 -	Defendant	
Pg: 32 Ln: 10	Designation	
Pg: 32 Ln: 11 -	Defendant	
Pg: 33 Ln: 23	Designation	
Pg: 33 Ln: 24 -	Defendant	
Pg: 36 Ln: 14	Designation	
Pg: 36 Ln: 15 -	Defendant	
Pg: 37 Ln: 15	Designation	
Pg: 37 Ln: 16 -	Defendant	
Pg: 38 Ln: 4	Designation	
Pg: 38 Ln: 5 -	Defendant	
Pg: 39 Ln: 5	Designation	
Pg: 39 Ln: 6 -	Defendant	
Pg: 41 Ln: 10	Designation	
Pg: 41 Ln: 11 -	Defendant	
14	Designation	
Pg: 41 Ln: 22 -	Defendant	
Pg: 42 Ln: 17	Designation	
Pg: 42 Ln: 18 -	Defendant	
Pg: 43 Ln: 10	Designation	
Pg: 43 Ln: 11 -	Defendant	
Pg: 44 Ln: 4	Designation	
Pg: 44 Ln: 5 - 8	Defendant	
	Designation	

Pg: 45 Ln: 11 -	Defendant	
•		
Pg: 46 Ln: 18	Designation Defendant	
Pg: 46 Ln: 19 -		
Pg: 47 Ln: 10	Designation	
Pg: 47 Ln: 12 -	Defendant	
Pg: 51 Ln: 15	Designation	
Pg: 52 Ln: 8 -	Defendant	
Pg: 53 Ln: 2	Designation	
Pg: 53 Ln: 3 -	Defendant	
Pg: 56 Ln: 4	Designation	
Pg: 56 Ln: 5 -	Defendant	
Pg: 57 Ln: 1	Designation	
Pg: 57 Ln: 3 - 25	Defendant	
	Designation	
Pg: 58 Ln: 1 -	Defendant	
Pg: 59 Ln: 19	Designation	
Pg: 59 Ln: 20 -	SEC Designation	
25		
Pg: 60 Ln: 1 - 16	Defendant	
	Designation	
Pg: 60 Ln: 17 -	Defendant	
Pg: 61 Ln: 7	Designation	
Pg: 61 Ln: 8 -	Defendant	
Pg: 63 Ln: 4	Designation	
Pg: 63 Ln: 5 -	Defendant	
Pg: 64 Ln: 16	Designation	
Pg: 64 Ln: 17 -	Defendant	
Pg: 68 Ln: 22	Designation	
Pg: 68 Ln: 23 -	Defendant	
Pg: 69 Ln: 15	Designation	
Pg: 69 Ln: 16 -	Defendant	Pg: 69 Ln: 16 - Pg: 70 Ln: 3 Objection: Leading
Pg: 70 Ln: 6	Designation	and vague.
Pg: 70 Ln: 21 -	Defendant	
Pg: 72 Ln: 18	Designation	
Pg: 72 Ln: 19 -	Defendant	
Pg: 74 Ln: 4	Designation	
Pg: 74 Ln: 5 -	Defendant	
Pg: 75 Ln: 3	Designation	
Pg: 75 Ln: 4 -	Defendant	
Pg: 76 Ln: 6	Designation	
Pg: 76 Ln: 7 -	Defendant	
Pg: 77 Ln: 22	Designation	
Pg: 77 Ln: 23 -	Defendant	Pg: 77 Ln: 8 - 18 Objection: Leading.
Pg: 79 Ln: 7	Designation	
		Pg: 78 Ln: 14 - 19 Objection: Leading and vague.

	1	
Pg: 79 Ln: 12 -	Defendant	
Pg: 80 Ln: 14	Designation	
Pg: 80 Ln: 15 -	Defendant	
Pg: 81 Ln: 1	Designation	
Pg: 81 Ln: 20 -	Defendant	Pg: 82 Ln: 15 - 20 Objection: Leading and vague.
Pg: 82 Ln: 17	Designation	
Pg: 82 Ln: 23 -	Defendant	Pg: 84 Ln: 19 – Pg: 85 Ln:5 Objection: FRE 403.
Pg: 84 Ln: 22	Designation	Leading, vague and misstates facts in evidence.
Pg: 85 Ln: 8 - 15	Defendant	
	Designation	
Pg: 85 Ln: 16 -	Defendant	
Pg: 86 Ln: 15	Designation	
Pg: 86 Ln: 16 -	Defendant	
Pg: 89 Ln: 10	Designation	
Pg: 89 Ln: 11 -	Defendant	
18	Designation	
Pg: 89 Ln: 19 -	Defendant	
Pg: 90 Ln: 18	Designation	
Pg: 90 Ln: 19 -	Defendant	
Pg: 91 Ln: 17	Designation	
Pg: 91 Ln: 18 -	Defendant	Pg: 92 Ln: 3 - 7 Objection: FRE 403: Leading and
Pg: 92 Ln: 7	Designation	speculation as to when parts would have been
		received.
Pg: 92 Ln: 12 -	Defendant	
17	Designation	
Pg: 93 Ln: 3 -	Defendant	Pg: 96 Ln: 20 - Pg: 97 Ln: 2 Objection: FRE 403:
Pg: 97 Ln: 2	Designation	Leading and speculation as to when they would
		have been ready to take orders.
Pg: 97 Ln: 3 - 12	Defendant	_
-	Designation	
Pg: 97 Ln: 15 -	Defendant	
21	Designation	
Pg: 97 Ln: 22 -	SEC Designation	
Pg: 98 Ln: 2		
Pg: 98 Ln: 3 -	Defendant	
Pg: 99 Ln: 22	Designation	
Pg: 100 Ln: 5 -	Defendant	
17	Designation	
Pg: 101 Ln: 1 -	Defendant	
Pg: 102 Ln: 20	Designation	
Pg: 102 Ln: 21 -	Defendant	Pg: 104 Ln: 8 - 11 Objection: FRE 403.
Pg: 105 Ln: 14	Designation	Foundation. Witness testified he did not prepare
		document and did not have input into it. See Tr.
		103:16-104:7.

		Pg: 105 Ln: 1 - 10 Objection: Same objection as above.
		Pg: 105 Ln: 11 - 14 Objection: Same objection as above.
Pg: 105 Ln: 15 - Pg: 108 Ln: 13	Defendant Designation	Pg: 107 Ln: 6 - 12 Objection: FRE 403. Foundation. Witness testified he did not prepare document and did not have input into it. <i>See</i> Tr. 103:16-104:7.
		Pg: 107 Ln: 17 - 20 Objection: Same objection as above.
		Pg: 107 Ln: 21 - 23 Objection: Same objection as above.
Pg: 108 Ln: 14 - Pg: 109 Ln: 17	Defendant Designation	Pg: 108 Ln: 14 - 22 Objection: FRE 403. Foundation. Witness testified he did not prepare document and did not have input into it. <i>See</i> Tr. 103:16-104:7.
Pg: 109 Ln: 18 - Pg: 110 Ln: 19	Defendant Designation	Pg: 110 Ln: 8 - 19 Objection: FRE 403. FRE 701. Foundation, leading and improper opinion testimony and/or legal conclusion.
Pg: 110 Ln: 20 - Pg: 112 Ln: 17	Defendant Designation	Pg: 110 Ln: 20 - Pg: 111 Ln: 1 Objection: FRE 403. Foundation. Witness testified he did not prepare document and did not have input into it. <i>See</i> Tr. 103:16-104:7.
Pg: 112 Ln: 18 - Pg: 116 Ln: 7	Defendant Designation	Pg: 114 Ln: 9 - 15 Objection: FRE 403. Foundation. Witness testified he did not prepare document and did not have input into it. <i>See</i> Tr. 103:16-104:7.
		Pg: 115 Ln: 20 - 23 Objection: Same objection as above.
		Pg: 115 Ln: 24 - Pg: 116 Ln: 7 Objection: Same objection as above.
Pg: 116 Ln: 8 - Pg: 117 Ln: 11	Defendant Designation	Pg: 116 Ln: 11 - 18 Objection: FRE 403. Foundation. Witness testified he did not prepare document and did not have input into it. <i>See</i> Tr. 103:16-104:7.
		Pg: 116 Ln: 19 - 24 Objection: Same objection as above.
		Pg: 117 Ln: 4 - 11 Objection: Same objection as above.

Pg: 117 Ln: 12 - Pg: 120 Ln: 9	Defendant Designation	Pg: 118 Ln: 4 - 7 Objection: FRE 403. Foundation. Witness testified he did not prepare document and did not have input into it. <i>See</i> Tr. 103:16-104:7. Pg: 118 Ln: 16 - 19 Objection: Same objection as above. Pg: 118 Ln: 20 - 22 Objection: Same objection as above. Pg: 118 Ln: 23 - Pg: 119 Ln: 2 Objection: Same objection as above.
		Pg: 120 Ln: 5 - 9 Objection: Same objection as above.
Pg: 120 Ln: 10 -	Defendant	Pg: 122 Ln: 15 - 25 Objection: FRE 403.
Pg: 123 Ln: 8	Designation	Foundation. Witness testified he did not prepare document and did not have input into it. <i>See</i> Tr. 103:16-104:7.
Pg: 123 Ln: 9 -	Defendant	Pg: 123 Ln: 12 - 17 Objection: FRE 403.
Pg: 125 Ln: 14	Designation	Foundation. Witness testified he did not prepare document and did not have input into it. <i>See</i> Tr. 103:16-104:7.
		Pg: 123 Ln: 18 - 22 Objection: Same objection as above.
		Pg: 124 Ln: 4 - 7 Objection: Same objection as above.
Pg: 125 Ln: 15 -	Defendant	Pg: 125 Ln: 25 - Pg: 126 Ln: 11 Objection:
Pg: 126 Ln: 16	Designation	Leading and vague, and also assumes facts not in evidence.
Pg: 126 Ln: 17 -	Defendant	
Pg: 128 Ln: 11	Designation	
Pg: 128 Ln: 12 -	Defendant	
Pg: 131 Ln: 1	Designation Defendant	Day 122 Lay 9 12 Objection: Vegys as to the terms
Pg: 131 Ln: 15 - Pg: 132 Ln: 10	Designation Designation	Pg: 132 Ln: 8 - 12 Objection: Vague as to the term "investors."
Pg: 132 Ln: 24 -	Defendant	mvestors.
Pg: 134 Ln: 2	Designation	
Pg: 135 Ln: 7 -	Defendant	
13	Designation	
Pg: 135 Ln: 14 - 19	SEC Designation	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

Pg: 136 Ln: 12 -	SEC Designation	
Pg: 130 Ln: 12 -	SEC Designation	
Pg: 137 Ln: 8 -	SEC Designation	
14	SEC Besignation	
Pg: 137 Ln: 15 -	SEC Designation	
24		
Pg: 138 Ln: 19 -	Defendant	
Pg: 139 Ln: 15	Designation	
Pg: 139 Ln: 16 -	Defendant	
Pg: 140 Ln: 18	Designation	
Pg: 140 Ln: 19 -	Defendant	
Pg: 141 Ln: 4	Designation	
Pg: 141 Ln: 5 -	SEC Designation	
18		
Pg: 142 Ln: 7 -	SEC Designation	
15	and b	
Pg: 142 Ln: 16 -	SEC Designation	
Pg: 143 Ln: 2	GEG D	
Pg: 143 Ln: 3 - 7	SEC Designation	
Pg: 144 Ln: 8 -	SEC Designation	
19	and b	
Pg: 144 Ln: 20 -	SEC Designation	
24	SEC Devise median	
Pg: 144 Ln: 25 - Pg: 145 Ln: 15	SEC Designation	
Pg: 146 Ln: 22 -	SEC Designation	
Pg: 147 Ln: 22	SEC Designation	
Pg: 147 Ln: 23 -	SEC Designation	
Pg: 148 Ln: 21		
Pg: 149 Ln: 21 -	SEC Designation	
Pg: 150 Ln: 2		
Pg: 150 Ln: 6 -	SEC Designation	
22		
Pg: 150 Ln: 23 -	SEC Designation	
Pg: 151 Ln: 10		
Pg: 151 Ln: 11 -	SEC Designation	
19	and b	
Pg: 151 Ln: 20 -	SEC Designation	
Pg: 152 Ln: 24	CEC Desir	
Pg: 152 Ln: 25 -	SEC Designation	
Pg: 153 Ln: 17	SEC Designation	
Pg: 153 Ln: 18 - Pg: 154 Ln: 5	SEC Designation	
Pg: 154 Ln: 5	SEC Designation	
	SEC Designation	
Pg: 162 Ln: 6		

D 160 I 4	and b	
Pg: 163 Ln: 4 -	SEC Designation	
10	~~~~	
Pg: 163 Ln: 20 -	SEC Designation	
Pg: 164 Ln: 21		
Pg: 168 Ln: 7 -	SEC Designation	
23		
Pg: 169 Ln: 22 -	SEC Designation	
Pg: 170 Ln: 1		
Pg: 170 Ln: 2 -	SEC Designation	
11	_	
Pg: 170 Ln: 19 -	SEC Designation	
Pg: 171 Ln: 3		
Pg: 171 Ln: 21 -	SEC Designation	
Pg: 172 Ln: 14		
Pg: 172 Ln: 15 -	Defendant	
23	Designation	
Pg: 173 Ln: 19 -	Defendant	
Pg: 174 Ln: 4	Designation	
Pg: 174 Ln: 12 -	SEC Designation	
19		
Pg: 174 Ln: 20 -	SEC Designation	
Pg: 175 Ln: 9		
Pg: 175 Ln: 10 -	SEC Designation	
16		
Pg: 178 Ln: 6 -	SEC Designation	
12		
Pg: 178 Ln: 13 -	SEC Designation	
21		
Pg: 178 Ln: 22 -	Defendant	
Pg: 180 Ln: 5	Designation	
Pg: 180 Ln: 6 -	SEC Designation	
14		
Pg: 183 Ln: 25 -	Defendant	
Pg: 185 Ln: 11	Designation	
Pg: 185 Ln: 22 -	Defendant	
Pg: 186 Ln: 2	Designation	
Pg: 186 Ln: 15 -	Defendant	Pg: 186 Ln: 25 - Pg: 187 Ln: 5 Objection:
Pg: 187 Ln: 5	Designation	Leading.
Pg: 187 Ln: 6 -	Defendant	
Pg: 190 Ln: 13	Designation	
Pg: 190 Ln: 14 -	Defendant	
Pg: 192 Ln: 25	Designation	
_ 5. 1/2 Em. 20	1 = 2229	

II. Trial Exhibits

The SEC objects to defendant Mueller's proposed trial exhibits as set forth below. In certain cases, the SEC has objected as hearsay to exhibits the SEC also marked as trial exhibits. The SEC has objected to documents for which no hearsay exception is applicable if the document is offered by defendant Mueller for the truth of the matter asserted but would be admissible if offered by the SEC for the same purpose. For example, the SEC marked as P-30 defendant Mueller's Responses and Objections to the SEC's First Set of Interrogatories.

Defendant Mueller marked the same document as D-73. Defendant Mueller's Interrogatory responses would not be hearsay if offered by the SEC under Rule 801(d)(2) but would be hearsay if offered by defendant Mueller for the truth of the matter asserted. The SEC has also objected to certain documents as hearsay that both parties marked as trial exhibits and for which no hearsay exception applies. For example, the SEC marked as P-359 the resume of Nathan Spradlin.

Defendant Mueller marked the same exhibit as D-23. The SEC marked P-359 for identification only and potentially to refresh Mr. Spradlin's memory. The document is nevertheless an out of court statement for which no hearsay exception applies.

EX. NO.	DESC.	DATE	BATES REF.	SEC's OBJECTIONS
1.	Deeproot Presentation		DEEPROOT FUNDS_005713- 39 (Depo Ex. 4)	None
2.	Deeproot the 575 2019 PPM – Class B Membership Shares	9/16/2019	SEC-DEEPROOT- E-0164824-41 (Depo Ex. 5)	None
3.	Deeproot dGRD 2019 PPM – Class C Membership Shares, last updated 10/24/2019	2/26/2018	SEC-DEEPROOT- E-0152250-68 (Depo Ex. 7)	Foundation (901). This version of the PPM is not listed in Mueller's Response to Interrogatory No. 2. See D-75.
4.	Email from Mueller to Dandridge regarding Nov. updates	11/10/2020	SEC-DEEPROOT- E-0025419-23 (Depo Ex. 8)	None
5.	Scott Allen resume		SEC-EMAILS-E- 0012926-27 (Depo Ex. 9)	None
6.	the 575 Application and Subscription Agreement for John Gray	8/7/2019	DEEPROOT FUNDS_002274- 84 (Depo Ex. 10)	None
7.	letter to 575P Investor	9/30/2020	SEC-DEEPROOT- E-0008354 (Depo Ex. 11)	None
8.	Employee Bonus Agreement	8/9/2019	SEC-AllenS-E- 000037 (Depo Ex. 12)	None
9.	Big Picture Discussion List		SEC-AllenS-E- 0000009-14 (Depo Ex. 13)	None
10.	Scott Allen Memo	5/27/2020	SEC-AllenS-E- 0000046-51 (Depo Ex.14)	Hearsay
11.	Emails between Mueller and Allen regarding Andrew Cherones	10/6/2020	SEC-DEEPROOT- E-0126910-13 (Depo Ex. 15)	None
12.	Slack Message from Scott Allen regarding resignation	10/7/2020	SA-000014-15 (Depo Ex. 17)	None

EX. NO.	DESC.	DATE	BATES REF.	SEC's OBJECTIONS
13.	Memo from Allen to Mueller regarding resignation	10/7/2020	SEC-AllenS-E- 0000079-80 (Depo Ex. 18)	None
14.	Allen resignation letter	10/7/2020	SEC-AllenS-E- 000081 (Depo Ex. 22)	None
15.	Investment Portfolio Narrative 2020.B2		SEC-DEEPROOT- E-0013223-36 (Depo Ex. 25) (MSJR Ex. A-12)	None
16.	Email Concilla to Mueller attaching engagement letter	4/22/2013	SEC-PulmanR-E- 0000001, 04-05 (Depo Ex. 27 / MSJR Ex. A-11)	None
17.	Emails between Mueller, Federico and Concilla regarding PPM	5/12/2015	MUELLER 002186-88 (Depo Ex. 32)	None
18.	Emails between Mueller and Concilla regarding policies and blind pool		MUELLER 002306-09 (Depo Ex 33)	None
19.	Emails between Mueller and Concilla regarding information needed for BGD5, BRD3 and INC3	8/21/2015	MUELLER 002715-17 (Depo Ex. 35)	None
20.	September 1, 2015 the 575 PPM	9/1/2015	SEC-DEEPROOT- E-0014497-12 (Depo Ex. 36)	None
21.	Emails between Wik and Mueller re: paper	3/20/2017	SEC-DEEPROOT- E-0210796-808 (Depo Ex. 37)	None
22.	Investment Allocation Agreement	3/23/2017	SEC-DEEPROOT- E-0213963-66 (Depo Ex 38)	None
23.	Nathan Spradlin resume		SPRADLIN 00001- 02 (Depo Ex. 44)	Hearsay
24.	Email from Cary Mueller to Colleagues regarding the 575 applications	2/10/2017	SEC-DEEPROOT- E-0061786-87 (Depo Ex. 45)	None
25.	Email from Russell Putman to Scott Allen re FactRight deeproot reviews	10/21/2019	SEC-DEEPROOT- E-0152501-60 (Depo Ex. 46 /	None

EX. NO.	DESC.	DATE	BATES REF.	SEC's OBJECTIONS
			MSJR Ex. A-12)	
26.	Email from Mueller to Russell Putnam re FactRight report	10/24/2019	SEC- FACTRIGHT-E- 00004646-88 (Depo Ex. 47)	None
27.	Email from Spradlin to Blaine McLaughlin re Folio platform	9/24/2018	SEC-DEEPROOT- E-0114305-07 (Depo Ex. 48)	None
28.	Email from JP Parker to Spradlin regarding Folio login for Spradlin	11/19/2019	SEC-DEEPROOT- E-0064860 (Depo Ex. 49)	None
29.	Emails between JP Parker and Spradlin regarding subscriptions	12/11/2019	SEC-DEEPROOT- E 0099771-72 (Depo Ex. 50)	None
30.	Employee file memo from Mueller regarding Spradlin	5/27/2020	MUELLER 002974-75 (Depo Ex. 51)	Completeness (106). Memo refers to a spreadsheet that is not attached. See, e.g., D-10 (similar memo, same date, attaching spreadsheet).
31.	Letter from Spradlin to Mueller	6/11/2020	SEC-SpradlinN-E- 0000123 (Depo Ex. 52)	None
32.	Spradlin resignation letter	7/9/2020	SEC-SpradlinN-E- 0000124 (Depo Ex. 53)	None
33.	Supporting documents for preparing Policy Services' 2014 Tax Return	2014	SEC-AAC-E- 0000031-122 (Depo Ex. 57)	Relevance (403)
34.	2014 Tax Return for Policy Services	2014	SEC-AAC-E- 0000144-69 (Depo Ex. 58)	Relevance (403)
35.	Emails between Mueller and Abramson re 1099s	1/11/2016	SEC-DEEPROOT- E-0187630-32 (Depo Ex. 59)	None

EX. NO.	DESC.	DATE	BATES REF.	SEC's OBJECTIONS
36.	Abramson & Wildman engagement letter to Mueller	1/22/2016	SEC-SEC-E- 0002702-05 (Depo Ex. 60)	None
37.	Emails between Mueller and Abramson re 1099-DIV	4/5/2016	SEC-DEEPROOT- E-0209999-210003 (Depo Ex. 61)	None
38.	Emails between Mueller and Abramson re 1099-DIV	4/5/2016	SEC-DEEPROOT- E-210005-10 (Depo Ex. 62)	None
39.	Abramson & Wildman disengagement letter to Mueller	7/7/2016	SEC-AAC-E- 0000226 (Depo Ex. 63)	None
40.	Email from Abramson to Mueller re 1099-DIV issue	4/5/2016	Color version of SEC-DEEPROOT- E-0209999-210003 (Depo Ex. 73)	None
41.	Email from Jack Richey to Mueller (cc: Rushforth) attaching presentation	6/28/2017	SEC-DEEPROOT- E-0089679 (Depo Ex. 74)	Relevance (403)
42.	Ideal Control System and Hardware Proposal presentation	6/23/2017	SEC-DEEPROOT- E-0089688-706 (Depo Ex. 75)	Relevance (403)
43.	Talking Points		SEC-DEEPROOT- E-0089680-86 (Depo Ex. 76)	Relevance (403)
44.	Email from Rushforth to Mueller regarding banana lift and other projects	06/30/20217	SEC-DEEPROOT- E-0089850 (Depo Ex. 77)	Relevance (403)
45.	Banana lift document		SEC-DEEPROOT- E-0089869-72 (Depo Ex. 78)	Relevance (403)
46.	Pinball Leveling Documentation		SEC-DEEPROOT- E-0089851-65 (Depo Ex. 79)	Relevance (403)
47.	Purchase Agreement	12/30/2020	SEC-DEEPROOT- E-00008342-52 (Depo Ex. 80)	None
48.	Licensing and Marketing Deck 2017		SEC-DEEPROOT- E-00197919-30 (Depo Ex. 81)	Relevance (403)

EX. NO.	DESC.	DATE	BATES REF.	SEC's OBJECTIONS
49.	Email from Bridget Homan to Rushforth attaching RAZA Preliminary BOM spreadsheet	5/9/2019	SEC-DEEPROOT- E-0090862 (Depo Ex. 82)	Relevance (403)
50.	RAZA Preliminary BOM spreadsheet		SEC-DEEPROOT- E-0090863 (Depo Ex. 83)	Relevance (403)
51.	Slack messages	5/3/2021	MUELLER 002870 (Depo Ex. 84)	Relevance (403)
52.	June 2021 - May 2022 Projected Budget		MUELLER 002864-69 (Depo Ex. 85)	Foundation (901); Relevance (403)
53.	deeproot Tech / deeproot Pinball Disclosure UPDATED		DEEPROOT FUNDS 005379-83 (Depo Ex. 86)	None
54.	Mueller's Expert Designations	4/6/2023	(Depo Ex.87 / MSJ Resp. Ex. A-15)	Hearsay (803)
55.	Email from Mueller to Concilla (cc: Federico) attaching deeproot-GRD documents	1/8/2015	MUELLER 001441-1553 (Depo Ex. 88)	None
56.	Email from Mueller to Concilla (cc: Federico) attaching the 575 documents	1/8/2015	MUELLER 001554-1664 (Depo Ex. 89)	None
57.	Email from Concilla to Mueller re revised dGRD PPM	8/12/2015	MUELLER 002649-67 (Depo Ex. 91)	None
58.	Email from Concilla to Mueller re 575 done	9/1/2015	MUELLER 002813-14 (Depo Ex. 92)	None
59.	Email from Concilla to Mueller re investor presentation	09/24/215	MUELLER 002853 (Depo Ex. 93)	None
60.	Deeproot investment presentation		MUELLER 002816-51 (Depo Ex. 94)	None
61.	Declaration of Dennis Concilla		MUELLER 002862-63 (Depo Ex. 101)	Hearsay (803)

EX. NO.	DESC.	DATE	BATES REF.	SEC's OBJECTIONS
62.	Email from Concilla to Mueller attaching final docs for 5 year fund	2/20/2014	MUELLER-CPM 0000063-88 (Depo Ex. 102)	None
63.	Emails between Concilla, Mueller, Russell Hagan, Federico, and Michael Smith regarding Reg D and unqualified funds	3/18/2014	MUELLER-CPM 000177-79 (Depo Ex. 103)	None
64.	Email between Mueller and Concilla regarding deeproot 3 Year Trending Tech Debenture Fund, LLC filings	3/27/2015	MUELLER-CPM 001545-46 (Depo Ex. 106)	None
65.	Email from Mueller to Concilla and Federico attaching summary documents for project	4/2/2015	MUELLER-CPM 001547-61 (Depo Ex. 107)	None
66.	Emails between Mueller, Concilla and Federico re 3- year tech fund	4/7/2015	MUELLER-CPM 001562-63 (Depo Ex. 108)	None
67.	Email from Mueller to Concilla and Federico regarding first major draft of GRD Term Sheet	7/23/2015	MUELLER-CPM 001706-10 (Depo Ex. 109)	None
68.	Emails between Federico and Concilla attaching latest of S- 1	2/5/2015	MUELLER-CPM 001428-76 (Depo Ex. 112)	None

EX. NO.	DESC.	DATE	BATES REF.	SEC's OBJECTIONS
69.	Contingent Pledge and Security Agreement between deeproot entities	11/20/2020	SEC-DEEPROOT- E-00213967-79 (MSJ Resp. Ex. A- 13)	Foundation (901). Mr. Mueller previously testified that "I don't have a recollection of the purpose of this agreement," although he was able to state "the agreement is authentic and legitimate." Mueller Investigation Tr. 179:6-9, June 23, 2021.
70.	Email from Kristen Warden to Jay Hulings and Jason Davis attaching Expert Report of Bill Post	3/17/2023	(MSJ Resp. Ex. A-14)	Hearsay (803); Relevance (403)
71.	SEC's Initial Disclosures	4/15/2022	(MSJ Resp. Ex. A-16)	None
72.	SEC's Amended Initial Disclosures	11/14/2022	(MSJ Resp. Ex. A-17)	None
73.	Mueller's Response to Plaintiff's First Set of Interrogatories	8/19/2022	(MSJ Resp. Ex. A-18)	Hearsay (803)
74.	the 575 Application and Subscription Agreement for James Donnelly	5/10/2019	DEEPROOT_FUN DS 001911-18	None
75.	the 575 Application and Subscription Agreement for Robert Kane	2/12/2017	DEEPROOT_FUN DS 003189-98	None
76.	the 575 Application and Subscription Agreement for Sandra Thompson	8/30/2016	MUELLER 002876-84	None
77.	the 575 Application and Subscription Agreement for Sandra Thompson	10/25/2016	MUELLER 002885-92	Foundation (901); Relevance (403)

EX. NO.	DESC.	DATE	BATES REF.	SEC's OBJECTIONS
78.	FactRight Due Diligence Report for the 575	Mar-18	SEC- FACTRIGHT-E- 00000004-30	Foundation (901). Not clear if this is a final or draft report.
79.	FactRight Due Diligence Report for the 575	Oct. 2019	SEC- FACTRIGHT-E- 00000163-89	Foundation (901). Not clear if this is a final or draft report.
80.	FactRight Due Diligence Report for dGRD	Mar-18	SEC- FACTRIGHT-E- 00000223-50	Foundation (901). Not clear if this is a final or draft report.
81.	FactRight Due Diligence Report for dGRD	Oct. 2019	SEC- FACTRIGHT-E- 00000412-37	Foundation (901). Not clear if this is a final or draft report.
82.	Email between Tom Andrew and Robert Mueller regarding sale for value of Basha policy	4/2/2019	CYCL00006116-17	None
83.	Email between SEC and Davis & Santos refusing to toll filing of Complaint	8/19/2021	MUELLER 002860-61	Foundation (901); Hearsay (803); Relevance (403); Speculation (701); Legal Conclusion (703)
84.	Pre-suit interview transcript of Scott Allen	5/24/2021		Hearsay (803)
85.	Pre-suit interview transcript for Andrew Thomas	5/27/2021		Hearsay (803)
86.	Pre-suit interview transcript for Cary Mueller	6/4/2021		Hearsay (803)
87.	Pre-suit interview transcript for Nathan Spradlin	6/9/2021		Hearsay (803)
88.	Pre-suit interview transcript for John Mark Richardson	6/11/2021		Hearsay (803)
89.	Pre-suit interview transcript of Robert Mueller, Vol. 1	6/23/2021		Hearsay (803)
90.	Pre-suit interview transcript of Robert Mueller, Vol. 2	6/24/2021		Hearsay (803)
91.	Declaration of Kenneth Abramson	9/7/2022	SEC-SEC-E- 0002717-20	None

EX. NO.	DESC.	DATE	BATES REF.	SEC's OBJECTIONS
92.	Declaration of Gerald R. Wik	9/7/2022	SEC-SEC-E- 0002500-53	None
93.	Declaration of George C. Williams	9/13/2022	SEC-SEC-E- 0002551-53	None
94.	Declaration of Brad Alan Leon	9/14/2022	SEC-SEC-E- 0002517-20	Hearsay (803); Foundation (901)
95.	Declaration of Sandra Thompson	9/15/2022	SEC-SEC-E- 0002508-11	None
96.	Declaration of Robert Kane	9/25/2022	SEC-SEC-E- 0002946-49	None
97.	Declaration of John Gray	10/9/2022	SEC-SEC-E- 002959-62	None
98.	Declarations of James Donnelly	10/18/2022	SEC-SEC-E- 0002984-87	None
99.	Declaration of Phillip Forret	11/4/2022	SEC-SEC-E- 0002979-81	None
100.	Photos of Facilities and Machines		MUELLER 002893-99	Foundation (901); Relevance (403)
101.	Photo Fire Brimstone machine model		MUELLER 002900	Foundation (901); Relevance (403)
102.	Photo RAZA machines		MUELLER 002901	Foundation (901); Relevance (403)
103.	Video of RAZA walkthrough		MUELLER 002960	Hearsay (803); Foundation (901); Relevance (403)
104.	Video of RAZA prototype gameplay at Houston Arcade Expo		MUELLER 002965	Hearsay (803); Foundation (901); Relevance (403)
105.	Video of Goonie's gameplay sample		MUELLER 002961	Foundation (901); Relevance (403)
106.	Video of MachineAge graphics		MUELLER 002962	Foundation (901); Relevance (403)
107.	Chris Turner video - Pinside Pinball Mystery Whodunnit!		MUELLER 002963	Hearsay (803); Foundation (901); Relevance (403)
108.	Chris Turner video - Top 5 Questions about Turner Pinball		MUELLER 002964	Hearsay (803); Foundation (901); Relevance (403)

EX. NO.	DESC.	DATE	BATES REF.	SEC's OBJECTIONS
109.	This Week in Pinball Article - FIRST LOOK: deeproot Pinball's Retro Atomic Zombie Adventureland, plus Interviews with John Popadiuk, Steven Bowden, and Robert Mueller	11/14/2019	MUELLER 002902-10	Hearsay (803); Hearsay within Hearsay (803); Relevance (403)
110.	Pinball Subpernova article – News: Deeproot Finally Unveils Retro Atomic Zombie Adventureland Prototype Pinball	11/15/2019	MUELLER 002966-69	Hearsay (803); Hearsay within Hearsay (803); Relevance (403)
111.	Pinball News article: Retro Atomic Zombie Adventureland	11/15/2019	MUELLER 002970-73	Hearsay (803); Relevance (403)
112.	This Week in Pinball Article - THIS WEEK IN PINBALL: December 23rd, 2019	12/23/2019	MUELLER 002911-22	Hearsay (803); Relevance (403)
113.	This Week in Pinball Article - THIS WEEK IN PINBALL: March 16th, 2020	3/16/2020	MUELLER 002923-28	Hearsay (803); Hearsay within Hearsay (803); Relevance (403)
114.	This Week in Pinball Article - Avengers Reveal Videos, deeproot Announces Launch Date, Raymond Davidson Interview, and the Pinball Pig	9/14/2020	MUELLER 002929-38	Hearsay (803); Hearsay within Hearsay (803); Relevance (403)
115.	This Week in Pinball Article - deeproot RAZA Gameplay Reveal, ReplayFX/PAPA/Pinburgh/W IPT Cancelled Permanently, Star Wars Comic Art, Alien Pinball Teasers	11/23/2020	MUELLER 002939-53	Hearsay (803); Relevance (403)
116.	Express News Article – World ranked pinball wizard is reviving the game	9/25/2020	MUELLER 002954-59	Hearsay (803); Hearsay within Hearsay (803); Relevance (403)

CONCLUSION

Based on the foregoing, the Commission respectfully requests that the Court: (1) grant these objections; and (2) grant the Commission such further relief as to which it may be entitled.

Dated: October 20, 2023

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Kristen M. Warden
Kristen M. Warden, Trial Counsel
Charlie Divine, Trial Counsel
David Nasse, Supervisory Trial Counsel
U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission
100 F Street NE
Washington, DC 20549
(202) 551-4661 (Warden)
(202) 551-6673 (Divine)
(202) 551-4426 (Nasse)
wardenk@sec.gov
divinec@sec.gov
nassed@sec.gov

Counsel for Plaintiff Securities and Exchange Commission

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that on the 20th day of October 2023, a true and correct copy of this filing was filed electronically through the Court's CM/ECF system, which will send copies to all counsel of record.

/s/ Kristen M. Warden
Kristen M. Warden
Counsel for Plaintiff United States Securities
and Exchange Commission